

LOW CORRELATION NOISE STABILITY OF SYMMETRIC SETS

STEVEN HEILMAN

ABSTRACT. We study the Gaussian noise stability of subsets A of Euclidean space satisfying $A = -A$. It is shown that an interval centered at the origin, or its complement, maximizes noise stability for small correlation, among symmetric subsets of the real line of fixed Gaussian measure. On the other hand, in dimension two and higher, the ball or its complement does not always maximize noise stability among symmetric sets of fixed Gaussian measure. In summary, we provide the first known positive and negative results for the Symmetric Gaussian Problem.

1. INTRODUCTION

Gaussian noise stability is a well-studied topic with connections to geometry of minimal surfaces [CM11], hypercontractivity and invariance principles [MOO10], isoperimetric inequalities [Pis86, Led94, KKMO07, MN15, Kan12, Kan14], sharp Unique Games hardness results in theoretical computer science [KKMO07, MOO10, KN13, KN12], social choice theory, learning theory [KS01, KOS⁺02, KDS⁺08], and communication complexity [CR11, She12, Vid13].

In applications, it is often desirable to maximize noise stability. A sample result is the following well-known Theorem of Borell, which has recently been re-proven and strengthened in various ways:

Theorem 1.1 ([Bor85, Led94, MN15, Eld15]). *Among all subsets of Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^n of fixed Gaussian measure, a half space maximizes noise stability (for positive correlation).*

Here a half space is any set of points lying on one side of a hyperplane.

A well-known Corollary of Theorem 1.1 says: among all subsets of Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^n of fixed Gaussian measure, a half space has minimal Gaussian surface area. This statement may be surprising if one has only seen the isoperimetric inequality for Lebesgue measure. The latter inequality says: among all subsets of Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^n of fixed Lebesgue measure, a ball has minimal surface area.

The present paper concerns a variant of Theorem 1.1 where we only consider symmetric sets. We say a subset A of \mathbb{R}^n is symmetric if $A = -A$. Such a variant of Theorem 1.1 is a conjecture.

Date: September 5, 2016.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 60E15, 49Q10, 46N30.

Key words and phrases. Noise stability, symmetric sets, Gaussian measure, optimization, calculus of variations.

Department of Mathematics, UCLA, Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555.

Email. heilman@math.ucla.edu

Acknowledgement. Thanks to Oded Regev for helpful discussions. Thanks to Elchanan Mossel for encouraging me to publish these results.

Conjecture 1 (Informal, [Bar01, CR11, O’D12]). *Among all symmetric subsets of \mathbb{R}^n of fixed Gaussian measure, the ball centered at the origin or its complement maximizes noise stability (for positive correlation).*

If Conjecture 1 were true, then a Corollary would be: among all symmetric subsets of Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^n of fixed Gaussian measure, a ball centered at the origin or its complement has minimal Gaussian surface area. So, by restricting our attention to symmetric sets, the isoperimetric sets for the Gaussian measure and Lebesgue measure become essentially the same.

We show that Conjecture 1 holds in the case $n = 1$, and it does not hold in general in the case $n \geq 2$. That is, we provide the first known positive and negative results for Conjecture 1.

1.1. Previous Work. It is natural to expect that the approaches for proving Theorem 1.1 taken e.g. in [Bor85, Led94, MN15, Eld15] would apply to Conjecture 1. However, this does not seem to be the case. The approaches of [Bor85, MN15, Eld15] in proving Theorem 1.1 all seem to use the following property of a half-space: when a half space is translated, it still maximizes noise stability (with a different measure constraint). This translation-invariance property goes away when we consider Conjecture 1.

The restriction that the subset A is symmetric in Conjecture 1, i.e. that $A = -A$, immediately removes any translation invariance property of the maximizers for this problem. That is, if a set A satisfies $A = -A$ and the set A maximizes noise stability among all symmetric subsets of \mathbb{R}^n with Gaussian measure $1/2$, then an arbitrary translation of A will no longer be a symmetric set. So, this translated set cannot maximize noise stability among symmetric sets. In short, we need to use some approach different from [Bor85, MN15, Eld15] in our investigation of Conjecture 1. The approach of [Hei14a] was designed to avoid this translation-invariance issue, and we can similarly apply the approach of [Hei14a] to Conjecture 1. When applying this approach to Conjecture 1, we first maximize noise stability for the correlation $\rho = 0$. Then, when the correlation ρ is small, one shows that the first variation condition for noise stability essentially defines a contractive mapping in a neighborhood of a global maximum. On the other hand, the approach of [Hei14a] currently seems special to the low correlation regime, whereas the approaches of [Bor85, MN15, Eld15] work for Theorem 1.1 for any correlation value $\rho \in (-1, 1)$.

Also, as used in various other works on isoperimetry with respect to the Gaussian measure (see e.g. [CCH⁺08]), one may try to solve Conjecture 1 by solving the analogous problem on the unit n -dimensional unit sphere S^n equipped with its normalized Haar measure. Solving this analogous problem on S^n and letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ could potentially solve Conjecture 1 itself. In fact, [Bar01] mentions this strategy for considering Conjecture 1. However, as communicated to us by K. Oleszkiewicz (and noted in [Bar01]), this strategy seems infeasible for proving Conjecture 1. There is a symmetric torus in S^3 of Haar measure $1/2$ which has less surface area than two spherical caps of total measure $1/2$. Therefore, two spherical caps of total measure $1/2$ cannot maximize noise stability on the sphere S^3 for all correlation values $\rho \in (-1, 1)$. (If we normalize correctly, the derivative of noise stability at $\rho = 1$ is equal to surface area. That is, maximizing noise stability for $\rho \rightarrow 1$ corresponds to minimizing surface area.) It is still possible that spherical caps maximize noise stability on the sphere S^n as $n \rightarrow \infty$, but this example for S^3 suggests the situation could be complicated for any fixed n .

In contrast to Conjecture 1, [Bar01, Theorem 1] shows that, if we choose a modified definition of Gaussian surface area, then symmetric strips have minimal modified Gaussian surface area among all sets of fixed Gaussian measure. Here a symmetric strip is a symmetric set bounded by two parallel hyperplanes. Since [Bar01, Theorem 1] uses a modified definition of Gaussian surface area, [Bar01, Theorem 1] does not contradict Conjecture 1.

Lastly, it is tempting to try to prove Conjecture 1 by a symmetrization argument, as in [BS01, IM12], but it is unclear how to construct such an argument in this setting. A symmetrization argument would begin with an arbitrary set A , and then construct a new set which is “more symmetric,” and whose noise stability would be larger than that of A . In other words, a symmetrization argument begins with a set A and then moves A in a direction of “increasing gradient” of noise stability. Symmetrization arguments are best suited for statements such as Theorem 1.1, where the set of maximum noise stability is unique, up to rotations. However, since we expect Conjecture 1 to have at least two local maxima (namely the ball centered at the origin and its complement), a symmetrization argument seems more difficult to implement.

Noise stability can be interpreted as a nonlocal interaction energy [Vil03]. Note that a theory of nonlocal minimal surfaces has been developed [CRS10], but it does not seem to apply in the present setting.

For the reasons including those mentioned in [Bar01], Conjecture 1 appears to be a difficult problem to solve in general. Furthermore, Conjecture 1 essentially contains the problem of minimizing “entropy” among self-shrinking solutions to the mean curvature flow. This problem has recently found significant progress [CIMW13], building on a sequence of works including [CM11], but this minimization problem is still not fully resolved. The main result of [CIMW13] only considers minimizing “entropy” among compact sets, so e.g. cones are ignored in their result.

1.2. Basic Definitions.

Definition 1.2 (Gaussian Measure). Let n be a positive integer. Let $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be a measurable set. Define the *Gaussian measure* of A to be

$$\gamma_n(A) := \int_A e^{-(x_1^2 + \dots + x_n^2)/2} \frac{dx}{(2\pi)^{n/2}}.$$

Let $\mathbb{N} := \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$. For any $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n), y = (y_1, \dots, y_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$, define $\langle x, y \rangle := \sum_{i=1}^n x_i y_i$, $\|x\|_2 := \sqrt{\langle x, x \rangle}$. For any $f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, let $\|f\|_{L_2(\gamma_n)} := (\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(x)|^2 d\gamma_n(x))^{1/2}$. Let $L_2(\gamma_n) := \{f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}: \|f\|_{L_2(\gamma_n)} < \infty\}$. For any $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and any $r > 0$, let $B(x, r) := \{y \in \mathbb{R}^n: \|x - y\|_2 < r\}$. Let $f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow [0, 1]$ and let $\rho \in [-1, 1]$, define the *Ornstein-Uhlenbeck operator with correlation ρ* applied to f by

$$T_\rho f(x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x\rho + y\sqrt{1-\rho^2}) d\gamma_n(y), \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n. \quad (1)$$

T_ρ is a parametrization of the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck operator. T_ρ is not a semigroup, but it satisfies $T_{\rho_1} T_{\rho_2} = T_{\rho_1 \rho_2}$, as we will see below. We have chosen this definition since the usual Ornstein-Uhlenbeck operator is only defined for $\rho \in [0, \pi/2]$.

Definition 1.3 (Noise Stability). Let n be a positive integer. Let $\rho \in (-1, 1)$. Let $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be a measurable set. Define the *Noise Stability of A with correlation ρ* to be

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} 1_A(x) T_\rho 1_A(x) d\gamma_n(x).$$

1.3. The Symmetric Gaussian Problem. Conjecture 1 appeared in [CR11] in relation to the Gap-Hamming-Distance problem in communication complexity. There, the following inequality was proven using concentration of measure techniques. In particular, the following property was used: when n is large, most of the measure of γ_n is concentrated near the sphere of radius \sqrt{n} centered at the origin.

Theorem 1.4 ([CR11, Corollary 3.6]). *For all $c, \varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta, N > 0$ such that, for all $n > N$, for all $0 \leq \rho \leq c/\sqrt{n}$, and for all $A, B \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ with $\gamma_n(A) \geq e^{-\delta n}$ with $A = -A$, we have*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} 1_A(x) T_\rho 1_B(x) d\gamma_n(x) \geq (1 - \varepsilon) \gamma_n(A) \gamma_n(B).$$

A sharper estimate of the right side would give sharper lower bounds for the Gap-Hamming-Distance problem. Some related versions of Theorem 1.4 were investigated in [She12] and [Vid13].

The following conjecture is suggested in [Bar01, CR11, O'D12]. Conjecture 2 below is a formal re-statement of Conjecture 1.

Conjecture 2. (Symmetric Gaussian Problem) *Let $0 < a, b < 1$, $-1 \leq \rho \leq 1$ and let $A, B \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ with $\gamma_n(A) = a$, $\gamma_n(B) = b$. Let $r_a, r_b, r'_a, r'_b > 0$ so that $\gamma_n(B(0, r_a)) = a$, $\gamma_n(B(0, r_b)^c) = b$, $\gamma_n(B(0, r'_a)^c) = a$, and $\gamma_n(B(0, r'_b)) = b$. If $\rho > 0$, then $(B(0, r_a), B(0, r_b)^c)$ or $(B(0, r'_a)^c, B(0, r'_b))$ achieves the following infimum*

$$\inf_{\substack{A, B \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n: \\ \gamma_n(A)=a, \gamma_n(B)=b, A=-A}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} 1_A(x) T_\rho(1_B)(x) d\gamma_n(x). \quad (2)$$

If $\rho < 0$, the same result holds, with the additional restriction that $B = -B$ in (2).

To see that Conjecture 2 is equivalent to that of [CR11, O'D12], let $A, B \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ and observe

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} 1_A(x) T_\rho(x) 1_B d\gamma_n(x) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} 1_A(x) \int 1_B(x\rho + y\sqrt{1-\rho^2}) d\gamma_n(y) d\gamma_n(x) \\ &= \iint_{\mathbb{R}^n} 1_A(x) 1_B(x\rho + y\sqrt{1-\rho^2}) d\gamma_n(y) d\gamma_n(x) = \mathbb{P}((X, Y) \in A \times B). \end{aligned}$$

Here $X = (X^{(1)}, \dots, X^{(n)})$, $Y = (Y^{(1)}, \dots, Y^{(n)})$ are jointly normal standard n -dimensional Gaussian random variables such that the covariances satisfy $\mathbb{E}(X^{(i)} Y^{(j)}) = \rho \cdot 1_{\{i=j\}}$.

Restricting Conjecture 2 to the case $a + b = 1$ and $A = B^c$ gives the following special case of Conjecture 2.

Conjecture 3. (Symmetric Gaussian Problem, Quadratic Version) *Let $0 < a < 1$, $-1 \leq \rho \leq 1$ and let $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ with $\gamma_n(A) = a$. Let $r_a, r'_a > 0$ so that $\gamma_n(B(0, r_a)) = a$, $\gamma_n(B(0, r'_a)^c) = a$. Then $B(0, r_a)$ or $B(0, r'_a)^c$ achieves the following supremum*

$$\sup_{\substack{A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n: \\ \gamma_n(A)=a, A=-A}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} 1_A(x) T_\rho(1_A)(x) d\gamma_n(x). \quad (3)$$

1.4. Our Contribution. In this paper, we provide two distinct approaches to Conjectures 2 and 3. In our first approach, we examine the first variation of the noise stability directly, as in [Hei14a]. This approach is used in our first main result, Theorem 1.5. In our second approach, we compute the second variation of noise stability for balls and their complements, which reduces to proving certain Gaussian Poincaré-type inequalities in Lemma 9.4. The second-variation approach appears to be the first application of second-variation arguments to noise stability problems. We show that, for certain measure restrictions $0 < a < 1$, the ball or its complement locally maximizes noise stability. But for other measure restrictions a , the ball or its complement does *not* locally maximize noise stability. As a result, Conjectures 2 and 3 are false, for certain measure restrictions a .

Here is our first main result.

Theorem 1.5 (Conjecture 2, $n = 1$, ρ small). *Let $n = 1$, $0 < a, b < 1$, and let $|\rho| < \min(e^{-40}, \min(a^{20}, (1-a)^{20}) \min(b^{20}, (1-b)^{20}))/1000$. Then Conjecture 2 holds with these parameters. Consequently, Conjecture 3 holds with these parameters.*

The proof of Theorem 1.5 adapts the strategy of [Hei14a], though the case $n = 1$ of Conjecture 2 provides several simplifications compared to the fairly intricate geometric arguments of [Hei14a]. Also, the proof in [Hei14a] was only able to handle correlations $\rho > 0$, whereas the present paper can handle both positive and negative correlations ρ .

Unfortunately, already when $n = 2$, Conjecture 3 is incorrect (and consequently Conjecture 2 is incorrect). To see why, let $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$ and define

$$F(A) = \left(\int_A (1 - x_1^2) d\gamma_2(x) \right)^2 + \left(\int_A (1 - x_2^2) d\gamma_2(x) \right)^2. \quad (4)$$

If Conjecture 3 is correct, then by differentiating twice with respect to ρ at $\rho = 0$ (see (10) below for details), Conjecture 3 for $n = 2$ implies that the ball or its complement maximizes $F(A)$ among all symmetric sets $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$ with $\gamma_2(A) = a$. (Without loss of generality, if A maximizes noise stability, then we may apply a rotation to A if necessary to ensure that $\int_A x_1 x_2 d\gamma_2(x) = 0$.) However, $F(A)$ is not always maximized by the ball or its complement. To see this, define

$$A = \{(x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : x_1^2/(2.5)^2 + x_2^2/(2.31394)^2 \leq 1\}.$$

Let $r = 2.4$. Then $\gamma_2(B(0, r)) = 1 - e^{-r^2/2} \approx .943865$. And from Lemma 9.6 below, $F(B(0, r)) = F(B(0, r)^c) = \frac{1}{2}r^4 e^{-r^2} \approx .0522732$.

And if $r' = \sqrt{-2 \log(1 - e^{-2.88})}$, then $\gamma_2(B(0, r')) = \gamma_2(B(0, r')^c)$, and again from Lemma 9.6 below, $F(B(0, r')) = \frac{1}{2}(r')^4 e^{-(r')^2} \approx .0059468$.

Finally, a numerical computation shows that $\gamma_2(A) \approx .943865$, and $F(A) = F(A^c) \approx .0524720 > .0522732$.

That is, $\gamma_2(B(0, r)^c) = \gamma_2(B(0, r')) \approx \gamma_2(A^c)$, but

$$F(A^c) > \max(F(B(0, r)^c), F(B(0, r'))).$$

That is, Conjectures 2 and 3 are false. A few more details are provided for this numerical calculation in Remark 10.2 below. Furthermore, note that if $A' = \{(x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : |x_1| \leq 1.90999\}$, then a numerical calculation shows that $\gamma_2(A') \approx .943865$, and $F(A') = F((A')^c) \approx .0604796$. So, for this measure restriction, the strip actually has larger value than the ball, or the complement of a ball, or the ellipse A . So, at least for this measure restriction, the

symmetric set maximizing F seems to be the strip A' . If so, this result would agree with the S-inequality (formerly the S-conjecture) proven in [LO99], which implies in particular that: for any symmetric convex set A with $\gamma_2(A) = \gamma_2(A')$, and for any $t \geq 1$, we have $\gamma_2(tA) \geq \gamma_2(tA')$.

The fact that Conjectures 2 and 3 are false for $n \geq 2$ is especially surprising since they are more or less known to be true in the limit when $\rho \rightarrow 1$, by e.g. [CIMW13]. At very least, the boundary of a set A which maximizes noise stability in the limit $\rho \rightarrow 1$ should be a minimal surface, i.e. a surface of constant mean curvature. On the other hand, the example above and Theorem 1.7 suggest that strips could maximize noise stability for small ρ , as in the main result of [Bar01]. In fact, it is entirely unclear which symmetric set maximizes F , and it is unclear which symmetric set maximizes noise stability. It could be the case that noise stability among symmetric sets of fixed Gaussian measure is maximized when $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ has boundary which is a dilation of the set $S^k \times \mathbb{R}^{n-k-1}$ for some $0 \leq k \leq n-1$. However, this statement could also be false.

We did not arrive at the above counterexamples by accident. In fact it is generally true that when a ball or its complement has a large radius, then that set does not maximize the quantity (4). This fact is made rigorous by computing the second variation of the quantity (4). Before presenting this second variation result, we establish some notation.

Let $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be a set with smooth boundary, and let $N: \partial A \rightarrow S^{n-1}$ denote the unit exterior normal to ∂A . Let $X: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ be a vector field. Let $\Psi: \mathbb{R}^n \times (-1, 1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $\Psi(x, 0) = x$ and such that $\frac{d}{dt}\Psi(x, t) = X(\Psi(x, t))$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n, t \in (-1, 1)$. For any $t \in (-1, 1)$, let $A^{(t)} = \Psi(A, t)$. Note that $A^{(0)} = A$. Let $G: \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a Schwartz function, e.g. to investigate noise stability we let $G(x, y) = (2\pi)^{-n} e^{\frac{-||x||_2^2 - ||y||_2^2 + 2\rho\langle x, y \rangle}{2(1-\rho^2)}} \forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Or to investigate the functional in (4), we let $G(x, y) = \sum_{i=1}^n (1-x_i^2)(1-y_i^2)\gamma_n(x)\gamma_n(y)$. Define

$$V(x, t) := \int_{A^{(t)}} G(x, y) dy, \quad V: \mathbb{R}^n \times (-1, 1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}.$$

Theorem 1.6 (Second Variation Formula, [CS07, Theorem 2.6]). *Let*

$$F(A) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} 1_A(x) G(x, y) 1_A(y) dx dy.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d^2}{dt^2} F(A^{(t)})|_{t=0} &= \int_{\partial A} \int_{\partial A} G(x, y) \langle X(x), N(x) \rangle \langle X(y), N(y) \rangle dx dy \\ &\quad + \int_{\partial A} \operatorname{div}(V(x, 0) X(x)) \langle X(x), N(x) \rangle dx. \end{aligned}$$

When integrating on a surface ∂A , we let dx denote the restriction of Lebesgue measure to the surface ∂A .

The second variation formula of Theorem 1.6 essentially appears in [CS07], though their statement differs a bit from ours. Nevertheless, their proof immediately gives Theorem 1.6. We reproduce the details of the proof of [CS07, Theorem 2.6] in the Appendix, Section 12. Theorem 1.6 does not seem to have been applied to noise stability before. In particular, optimizing noise stability has typically focused on either first variation arguments, or on

heat flow methods. So, we consider our application of the second variation formula to the noise stability functional to be one of the main contributions of this work.

Combining Theorem 1.6 with a Poincaré-type inequality on the sphere (Lemma 9.4 below), we deduce the following second variation calculation for the functional F when $G(x, y) = \sum_{i=1}^n (1 - x_i^2)(1 - y_i^2)\gamma_n(x)\gamma_n(y) \forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$. This second variation computation constitutes our second main result.

Theorem 1.7 (Local Optimality and Non-Optimality Conditions). *Let $n \geq 2$. Let $r > 0$ such that $r^2 \leq n + 2$. Assume that $\frac{d}{dt}|_{t=0}\gamma_n(A^{(t)}) = 0$ and $\frac{d^2}{dt^2}|_{t=0}\gamma_n(A^{(t)}) = 0$. Then if $A^{(0)} = B(0, r)$ or if $A^{(0)} = B(0, r)^c$, we have*

$$\frac{d}{dt}F(A^{(t)})|_{t=0} = 0, \quad \frac{d^2}{dt^2}F(A^{(t)})|_{t=0} < 0.$$

That is, the sets $B(0, r)$ and $B(0, r)^c$ locally maximize the sum of the squares of their second-degree Fourier coefficients among symmetric sets of fixed Gaussian measure.

However, if $r^2 > n + 2$, then \exists symmetric sets $\{A^{(t)}\}_{-1 < t < 1}$ so that $\frac{d}{dt}|_{t=0}\gamma_n(A^{(t)}) = 0$, $\frac{d^2}{dt^2}|_{t=0}\gamma_n(A^{(t)}) = 0$, with $A^{(0)} = B(0, r)$ or $A^{(0)} = B(0, r)^c$, and such that

$$\frac{d}{dt}F(A^{(t)})|_{t=0} = 0, \quad \frac{d^2}{dt^2}F(A^{(t)})|_{t=0} > 0.$$

*That is, the sets $B(0, r)$ and $B(0, r)^c$ do **not** locally maximize the sum of the squares of their second-degree Fourier coefficients among symmetric sets of fixed Gaussian measure.*

The equality $\frac{d}{dt}F(A^{(t)})|_{t=0} = 0$ follows readily from (12) below, and the second variation calculation is contained in Corollary 9.8 below.

The condition $r^2 \leq n+2$ unfortunately does not hold for all measure restrictions as $n \rightarrow \infty$. That is, there are many measure restrictions $a = \gamma_n(B(0, r))$ where $r^2 > n+2$. To see this, let $r(s, n) = \sqrt{n + s\sqrt{2n}}$ for any $s > 0$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_n(B(0, r(s, n))) = \int_{-\infty}^s \gamma_1(t)dt$, which follows from the Central Limit Theorem. And $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [(r(s, n))^2 - n - 2] < 0$ if and only if $s < 0$. That is, the ball $B(0, r(s, n))$ only locally maximizes F for sufficiently large n when $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_n(B(0, r(s, n))) \leq 1/2$. And the complement $B(0, r(s, n))^c$ only locally maximizes F for sufficiently large n when $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_n(B(0, r(s, n))^c) \geq 1/2$.

As we observed in the case $n = 2$, with $r = 2.4$ and with $r' = \sqrt{-2 \log(1 - e^{-2.88})} \approx .3399$, we had $\gamma_2(B(0, r)^c) = \gamma_2(B(0, r'))$ with $F(B(0, r)^c) > F(B(0, r'))$. Theorem 1.7 then says that Conjectures 2 and 3 are false in a fairly strong sense, since if the radius of the ball or complement is sufficiently large, then that set does not locally maximize F . It therefore seems natural to try to formulate a weaker version of Conjecture 3 which only identifies sets of large noise stability as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Such a statement may still be suitable for applications to the Gap-Hamming-Distance problem as well.

Question 1. For any $a \in (0, 1)$, $n \geq 1$, let $B_{n,a} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be the ball centered at the origin such that $\gamma_n(B_{n,a}) = a$.

If $A = -A$, and if $\gamma_n(A) = a$, is it true that

$$F(A) \leq \sup_{n \geq 1} [\max(F(B_{n,a}), F(B_{n,1-a}^c))]?$$

We would like to change the above question, replacing $\sup_{n \geq 1}$ with $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty}$ or $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty}$. Unfortunately, the following calculation shows that, for any $0 < a < .15$, quantity $F(B_{n,a})$ decreases monotonically when n is very large.

From the Central Limit Theorem with error bound (also known as the Edgeworth Expansion) [Fel71, XVI.4.(4.1)], for any $s \in \mathbb{R}$, the following asymptotic expansion holds as $n \rightarrow \infty$:

$$\gamma_n \left(B \left(0, \sqrt{n + s\sqrt{2n}} \right) \right) = \int_{-\infty}^s e^{-t^2/2} dt / \sqrt{2\pi} + \frac{(1 - s^2)e^{-s^2/2} / \sqrt{2\pi}}{\sqrt{n}} + o(n^{-1/2}).$$

And an asymptotic expansion in Lemma 11.2 shows that, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, if $B(0, \sqrt{n + s\sqrt{2n}}) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$, we then have

$$F \left(B \left(0, \sqrt{n + s\sqrt{2n}} \right) \right) = \frac{1}{\pi} e^{-s^2 + s^3 2\sqrt{2} n^{-1/2} / 3 - O(n^{-1})}, \quad \forall s \in \mathbb{R}.$$

For n sufficiently large, if $B(0, \sqrt{n + s\sqrt{2n}}) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ and $s < -1$, then $\gamma_n(B(0, \sqrt{n + s\sqrt{2n}}))$ decreases as n increases, and $F(B(0, \sqrt{n + s\sqrt{2n}}))$ increases as n increases. And if $s > 1$, then $\gamma_n(B(0, \sqrt{n + s\sqrt{2n}})^c)$ increases as n increases, and $F(B(0, \sqrt{n + s\sqrt{2n}}))$ decreases as n increases. Therefore, if $a < .15$, $F(B_{n,a}) < F(B_{n,1-a}^c)$, and $B_{n,1-a}^c$ does not locally maximize F , by Theorem 1.7. That is, when $0 < a < .15$, when n is large, and when $|\rho|$ is near zero, Conjectures 2 and 3.

If $r > 0$, then since $B(0, r) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is rotationally symmetric, we conclude that $F(B(0, r)) = \frac{1}{n} (\int_{B(0,r)} (n - \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2) d\gamma_n(x))^2$. So, if n is fixed, $\max_{a>0} F(B_{n,a}) = F(B(0, \sqrt{n}))$. Also, if $B(0, \sqrt{n}) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$, then $F(B(0, \sqrt{n})) < F(B(0, \sqrt{n+1}))$, by Lemma 11.2 below. And since $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(B(0, \sqrt{n})) = \frac{1}{\pi}$, a variant of Question 1 can be:

Question 2. If $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ with $A = -A$ (with no restriction on the measure of A), is it true that

$$F(A) \leq \frac{1}{\pi}?$$

If Question 1 is incorrect, then Conjecture 2 is false in a much stronger sense than mentioned above. That is, if Question 1 is incorrect, then there exists some $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ such that ∂A is a the level set of a degree two polynomial such that A has larger noise stability than any ball or ball complement in any Euclidean space of any dimension (for noise stability with small correlation ρ). If Question 1 is correct, then Question 1 can be interpreted as an “infinite-dimensional” special case of the following weakened “infinite-dimensional” version of Conjecture 2:

Question 3. Let $0 < \rho < 1$. For any $a \in (0, 1)$, $n \geq 1$, let $B_{n,a} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be the ball centered at the origin such that $\gamma_n(B_{n,a}) = a$. If $A = -A$, and if $\gamma_n(A) = a$, is it true that

$$F(A) \leq \sup_{n \geq 1} [\max(F(B_{n,a}), F(B_{n,1-a}^c))]?$$

If $A = -A$, and if $\gamma_n(A) = a$, is it true that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} 1_A(x) T_\rho(1_A)(x) d\gamma_n(x) \leq \sup_{n \geq 1} \left(\max \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} 1_{B_{n,a}}(x) T_\rho(1_{B_{n,a}})(x) d\gamma_n(x), \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} 1_{B_{n,1-a}^c}(x) T_\rho(1_{B_{n,1-a}^c})(x) d\gamma_n(x) \right) \right)?$$

Despite the negative results mentioned above, including Theorem 1.7, we present some evidence toward positive answers to Question 1 and 3. First, Theorem 1.7 can be extended to handle the noise stability functional, as long as ρ is small. Before stating this Theorem, for any $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$, and for any $-1 < \rho < 1$, let

$$F_\rho(A) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} 1_A(x) T_\rho(1_A)(x) d\gamma_n(x).$$

Below, we continue to use the notational conventions of Theorem 1.6.

Theorem 1.8 (Local Optimality and Non-Optimality Conditions for Noise Stability). *Assume $\int_{\partial A} |\langle X(x), N(x) \rangle|^2 dx = 1$.*

Let $r > 0$ such that $r^2 \leq n + 2$. Let $0 < a < 1$. Assume that $\gamma_n(A^{(0)}) = a$. Then there exists $\rho_0 = \rho_0(a, r, n) > 0$ such that, for all $|\rho| < \rho_0$, the following holds. Assume that $\frac{d}{dt}|_{t=0} \gamma_n(A^{(t)}) = 0$ and $\frac{d^2}{dt^2}|_{t=0} \gamma_n(A^{(t)}) = 0$. Then if $A^{(0)} = B(0, r)$ or if $A^{(0)} = B(0, r)^c$, we have

$$\frac{d}{dt} F_\rho(A^{(t)})|_{t=0} = 0, \quad \frac{d^2}{dt^2} F_\rho(A^{(t)})|_{t=0} < 0.$$

That is, the sets $B(0, r)$ and $B(0, r)^c$ locally maximize noise stability among symmetric sets of fixed Gaussian measure.

However, if $r^2 > n + 2$, if $0 < a < 1$, then there exists $\rho_0 = \rho_0(a, r, n) > 0$ such that, for all $|\rho| < \rho_0$, the following holds. There exist symmetric sets $\{A^{(t)}\}_{-1 < t < 1}$ so that $\gamma_n(A^{(0)}) = a$, $\frac{d}{dt}|_{t=0} \gamma_n(A^{(t)}) = 0$, $\frac{d^2}{dt^2}|_{t=0} \gamma_n(A^{(t)}) = 0$, with $A^{(0)} = B(0, r)$ or $A^{(0)} = B(0, r)^c$, and such that

$$\frac{d}{dt} F_\rho(A^{(t)})|_{t=0} = 0, \quad \frac{d^2}{dt^2} F_\rho(A^{(t)})|_{t=0} > 0.$$

*That is, the sets $B(0, r)$ and $B(0, r)^c$ do **not** locally maximize noise stability among symmetric sets of fixed Gaussian measure.*

Remark 1.9. Let $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ with $A = -A$. Since $A = -A$, $\frac{d}{d\rho}|_{\rho=0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} 1_A(x) T_\rho 1_A(x) d\gamma_n(x) = 0$ (see (8) below). Moreover, we have the Taylor series estimate

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} 1_A(x) T_\rho 1_A(x) d\gamma_n(x) - \left[(\gamma_n(A))^2 + \frac{\rho^2}{2} \frac{d^2}{d\rho^2} \Big|_{\rho=0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} 1_A(x) T_\rho 1_A(x) d\gamma_n(x) \right] \right| \leq |\rho|^3. \quad (5)$$

So, the second derivative of noise stability at $\rho = 0$ is most significant when ρ is near zero. In fact, as shown in (10) below,

$$\frac{d^2}{d\rho^2} \Big|_{\rho=0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} 1_A(x) T_\rho 1_A(x) d\gamma_n(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\int_A (1 - x_i^2) d\gamma_n(x) \right)^2 + 2 \sum_{i,j \in \{1, \dots, n\}: i \neq j} \left(\int_A x_i x_j d\gamma_n(x) \right)^2.$$

However, the last sum can be ignored for the following reason. If we interpret $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ as a column vector, consider the $n \times n$ matrix $M := \int_A x x^T d\gamma_n(x)$. Then if Q is an orthogonal $n \times n$, matrix, a change of variables shows that $Q M Q^T = \int_A (Qx)(Qx)^T d\gamma_n(x) = \int_{QA} x x^T d\gamma_n(x)$.

So, to diagonalize M with an orthogonal matrix Q , it suffices to replace A with the set QA . So, when we maximize $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} 1_A(x) T_\rho 1_A(x) d\gamma_n(x)$ or when we consider variations of the noise stability, we can and will assume that $\int_{A(t)} x_i x_j d\gamma_n(x) = 0$ for any $i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ with $i \neq j$, for any $t \in (-1, 1)$. In particular (using $t = 0$), we can and will assume that $\int_A x_i x_j d\gamma_n(x) = 0$ for any $i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ with $i \neq j$.

1.5. General Framework. Though Conjecture 2 and other noise stability optimization problems concern the optimization of a very specific functional, i.e. noise stability, our treatment of Conjecture 2 uses a fairly general strategy. That is, we can consider our approach to Conjecture 2 within the following general context:

- We are given some Banach space V , and for each $\rho \in (-1, 1)$, we have a function $F_\rho: V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ to be maximized.
- The maximum of F_0 over V is equivalent to maximizing F_0 over a finite-dimensional manifold.
- We would like to show: if $v_0 \in V$ maximizes F_0 , then v_0 also maximizes F_ρ for all ρ close to 0.

It is generally impossible that the final statement holds. For example, suppose we are asked to maximize $F_\rho(v) = -(v - \rho)^2$ where $v \in \mathbb{R}$. Then $v = 0$ maximizes F_0 , but $v = 0$ does not maximize F_ρ when $\rho \neq 0$.

Our main strategy in proving Theorem 1.5 is to try to relate the first variation (i.e. first derivative) of F_ρ to that of F_0 when ρ is near 0.

- (i) Prove some stability estimate for F_0 . (If v nearly maximizes F_0 , then v is close to v_0 .)
- (ii) Show that if ρ is close to 0, then the first variation of F_ρ is close to that of F_0 .
- (iii) Assume that F_ρ depends continuously on ρ , if v maximizes F_ρ , then v nearly maximizes F_0 . So, v is close to v_0 by (i).
- (iv) Since v is close to v_0 by (iii), an appropriate version of (ii) implies that v is very close to v_0 . Then, by iterating (ii) an infinite number of times, we conclude that $v = v_0$, as desired.

This strategy was used in [Hei14a] to show that if ρ is close to zero, then the maximum noise stability of three sets partitioning \mathbb{R}^n each with Gaussian measure $1/3$ occurs when the three sets are cones each with cone angle $2\pi/3$. This appeared to be the first use of this strategy applied to noise stability problems. However, a similar strategy has been used for perturbations of perimeter functionals [Jul14, FFM⁺15]

In the present paper, we will consider the Banach V consisting of symmetric bounded functions: $f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow [0, 1]$ with $f(-x) = f(x)$. So, if A is symmetric, i.e. $A = -A$ and $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$, then $1_A \in V$. We will also let F_ρ be the noise stability.

The strategy depicted above, as used in [Hei14a], however has some shortcomings for Conjecture 2 when $n \geq 2$. In particular, part (iv) of the above strategy seems most natural only when we impose the additional restriction that the set $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ satisfies $\int_A (1 - x_i^2) d\gamma_n(x) = \int_A (1 - x_j^2) d\gamma_n(x)$ for all $i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. This assumption imposes additional constraints beyond the assumption that $A = -A$. It is possible to prove a version of Theorem 1.5 under this additional constraint, but we choose not to do so, since this constraint seems too restrictive to be of interest.

In any case, in order to prove Theorems 1.7 and 1.8, we abandon the strategy of [Hei14a], and we instead use the second variation formula Theorem 1.6. That is, we change the itemized strategy above to the following.

- (i) Compute the second variation of F_0 is negative at a ball centered at the origin.
- (ii) Show that if ρ is close to 0, then the second variation of F_ρ is close to that of F_0 .

1.6. Organization. Sections 2 through 7 provide supporting lemmas for the proof of Theorem 1.5, which appears in Section 8. Theorems 1.7 and 1.8 are proven in Section 10.

1.7. Some Hermite-Fourier Analysis. Let $\lambda > 0$. Recall that the Hermite polynomials h_0, h_1, h_2, \dots of one variable are defined by

$$e^{\lambda x - \lambda^2/2} =: \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}} \lambda^\ell h_\ell(x), \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Note that $\int_{\mathbb{R}} h_\ell(x)^2 d\gamma_1(x) = 1/\ell!$, and $\{\sqrt{\ell!} h_\ell\}_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}}$ is an orthonormal basis of $L_2(\gamma_1)$ with respect to the inner product $\langle f, g \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x) \overline{g(x)} d\gamma_1(x)$, $f, g: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Set $f(x) := e^{\lambda x - \lambda^2/2}$. A routine computation [Hei14a] shows that $T_\rho(f)(x) = e^{(\lambda\rho)x - (\lambda\rho)^2/2}$, $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}, \forall \rho \in (-1, 1)$.

We therefore have the relation

$$T_\rho f(x) = \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}} \lambda^\ell \rho^\ell h_\ell(x), \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \forall \rho \in (-1, 1). \quad (6)$$

So, by linearity, $T_\rho h_\ell(x) = \rho^\ell h_\ell(x)$, $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}, \forall \ell \in \mathbb{N}, \forall \rho \in (-1, 1)$.

We now extend the above observations to higher dimensions. Let $f \in L_2(\gamma_n)$, so that $f = \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}^n} \langle f, h_\ell \sqrt{\ell!} \rangle h_\ell \sqrt{\ell!}$ in the $L_2(\gamma_n)$ sense, where $\ell = (\ell_1, \dots, \ell_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$ and $h_\ell(x) = \prod_{i=1}^n h_{\ell_i}(x_i)$ $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Write $\|\ell\|_1 := \ell_1 + \dots + \ell_n$ and $\ell! := (\ell_1!) \dots (\ell_n!)$. Then T_ρ satisfies $T_\rho h_\ell = \rho^{|\ell|_1} h_\ell$ for any $\ell \in \mathbb{N}^n$, and for any $\rho \in (-1, 1)$,

$$T_\rho f(x) = \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}^n} \rho^{|\ell|_1} \sqrt{\ell!} h_\ell(x) \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \sqrt{\ell!} h_\ell(y) f(y) d\gamma_n(y) \right), \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n. \quad (7)$$

Let $f, g \in L_2(\gamma_n)$. By Plancherel's Theorem and (7) we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x) T_\rho g(x) d\gamma_n(x) = \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}^n} \rho^{|\ell|_1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x) \sqrt{\ell!} h_\ell(x) d\gamma_n(x) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g(y) \sqrt{\ell!} h_\ell(y) d\gamma_n(y). \quad (8)$$

By formally taking the second derivative $d^2/d\rho^2$ of (8), we get

$$\frac{d^2}{d\rho^2} \int f T_\rho f d\gamma_n = \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}^n} \|\ell\|_1 (\|\ell\|_1 - 1) \rho^{|\ell|_1 - 2} \left| \int f \sqrt{\ell!} h_\ell d\gamma_n \right|^2. \quad (9)$$

Evaluating (9) at $\rho = 0$,

$$\frac{d^2}{d\rho^2} \Big|_{\rho=0} \int f T_\rho f d\gamma_n = 2 \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}^n: \|\ell\|_1=2} \left| \int f \sqrt{\ell!} h_\ell d\gamma_n \right|^2. \quad (10)$$

Suppose $f(x) = f(-x)$, $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Applying this property and then changing variables,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} h_\ell(x) f(x) d\gamma_n(x) = (-1)^{|\ell|_1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} h_\ell(-x) f(-x) d\gamma_n(x) = (-1)^{|\ell|_1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} h_\ell(x) f(x) d\gamma_n(x). \quad (11)$$

2. MAXIMIZING SECOND DEGREE FOURIER COEFFICIENTS

We begin with the following adaptation of [KN13, Lemma 2.1]. The following Lemma provides existence and first variation conditions (12) for maximizing the second degree term in (8), or equivalently the second derivative term in (5).

Lemma 2.1. *Let $0 < a < 1$. Then there exists $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ such that*

$$\sum_{\substack{\ell \in \mathbb{N}^n: \\ \|\ell\|_1=2}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} 1_A(x) h_\ell(x) \sqrt{\ell!} d\gamma_n(x) \right)^2 = \sup_{\substack{\{f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow [0,1], \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x) d\gamma_n(x) = a\}}} \sum_{\substack{\ell \in \mathbb{N}^n: \\ \|\ell\|_1=2}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x) h_\ell(x) \sqrt{\ell!} d\gamma_n(x) \right)^2.$$

Moreover, $A = -A$, and there exists $c \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$A = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n: \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} 1_A(y) \left[\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i^2 - 1)(y_i^2 - 1) + 2 \sum_{i \neq j} (x_i x_j y_i y_j) \right] d\gamma_n(y) \geq c \right\}. \quad (12)$$

Proof. The set $C := \{f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow [0, 1], \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x) d\gamma_n(x) = a\}$ is a norm closed, convex and norm bounded subset of the Hilbert space $L_2(\gamma_n)$. Therefore, $C \subseteq L_2(\gamma_n)$ is weakly closed. Also, C is weakly compact by the Banach-Alaoglu Theorem. Define $T: C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$T(f) := \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}^n: \|\ell\|_1=2} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x) \sqrt{\ell!} h_\ell(x) d\gamma_n(x) \right|^2. \quad (13)$$

Since $\|h_\ell \sqrt{\ell!}\|_{L_2(\gamma_n)} = 1$, T is a finite sum of weakly continuous functions. Therefore, T is weakly continuous on the weakly compact set $C \subseteq L_2(d\gamma_n)$. So, there exists $f \in C$ such that $T(f) = \max_{g \in C} T(g)$.

Now, the function $f_s(x) := (f(x) + f(-x))/2$ satisfies

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f_s(x) \sqrt{\ell!} h_\ell(x) d\gamma_n(x) = \begin{cases} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x) \sqrt{\ell!} h_\ell(x) d\gamma_n(x) & , \|\ell\|_1 \text{ even}, \ell \in \mathbb{N}^n \\ 0 & , \|\ell\|_1 \text{ odd}, \ell \in \mathbb{N}^n. \end{cases}$$

So, $T(f_s) \geq T(f)$. Let $C^s := \{f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow [0, 1], f(x) = f(-x), \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x) d\gamma_n(x) = a\}$. We have just shown that

$$\max_{g \in C} T(g) = \max_{g \in C^s} T(g). \quad (14)$$

We therefore try to maximize $T(g)$ on C^s . We now show that T is convex on B^s . Let $f_1, f_2 \in C^s$, and let $\lambda \in [0, 1]$. Then

$$\lambda T(f_1) + (1 - \lambda) T(f_2) - T(\lambda f_1 + (1 - \lambda) f_2) \stackrel{(13)}{=} \lambda(1 - \lambda) T(f_1 - f_2) \geq 0. \quad (15)$$

So, T is a weakly continuous convex function on the weakly compact set $C^s \subseteq L_2(\gamma_n)$. Therefore, there exists $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $1_A \in C^s$ satisfies $T(1_A) = \max_{g \in C^s} T(g)$ [KN13, Lemma 2.1]. Combining this observation with (14), $T(1_A) = \max_{g \in C^s} T(g) = \max_{g \in C} T(g)$, and $A = -A$ since $1_A \in C^s$. The existence of A is therefore proven.

We now prove (12). We argue by contradiction. Define

$$\bar{T}(f)(x) := \frac{1}{2} \frac{d^2}{d\rho^2} \bigg|_{\rho=0} T_\rho f(x) \stackrel{(7)}{=} \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}^n: \|\ell\|_1=2} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(y) \sqrt{\ell!} h_\ell d\gamma_n(y) \right) \sqrt{\ell!} h_\ell(x).$$

12

Note that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x) \bar{T} f(x) d\gamma_n(x) = T(f)$. Suppose there exists $x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $x_1 \notin A$, $x_2 \in A$ such that $\bar{T}1_A(x_1) > \bar{T}1_A(x_2)$. Let $U_1 \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be a small ball around x_1 and let U_2 be a small ball around x_2 such that $\bar{T}1_A(u_1) > \bar{T}1_A(u_2)$, $\forall u_1 \in U_1, u_2 \in U_2$. Also, assume that $U_1 \cap U_2 = \emptyset$ and $\gamma_n(U_1) = \gamma_n(U_2)$. Define $A' := (A \setminus U_2) \cup U_1$. Then $1_{A'} = 1_A - 1_{U_2} + 1_{U_1}$, and for U_1, U_2 sufficiently small,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} 1_{A'}(x) \bar{T}1_{A'}(x) d\gamma_n(x) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} 1_A(x) \bar{T}1_A(x) d\gamma_n(x) + 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (1_{U_1}(x) - 1_{U_2}(x)) \bar{T}1_A(x) d\gamma_n(x) \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (1_{U_1}(x) - 1_{U_2}(x)) \bar{T}(1_{U_1} - 1_{U_2})(x) d\gamma_n(x) \\ &> \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} 1_A(x) \bar{T}1_A(x) d\gamma_n(x). \end{aligned}$$

This inequality contradicts the maximality of A . We conclude that no such x_1, x_2 exist, so (12) holds. \square

Remark 2.2. One difficulty in proving Question 3 is that there are many potential critical points for the noise stability. For example, if the boundary of A is of the form $S^m \times \mathbb{R}^{n-m}$, with $0 \leq m \leq n$, then A satisfies (12). Also, if the boundary of the set A is any Simons-Lawson cone, then A satisfies (12). That is, in the limit $\rho \rightarrow 0$, A is a candidate critical point of noise stability if the boundary of A is equal to

$$\{(x_1, \dots, x_{2n}) \in \mathbb{R}^{2n} : \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 = \sum_{i=n+1}^{2n} x_i^2\}.$$

3. ITERATIVE ESTIMATES

The following inequality for Hermite polynomials will be useful in the sequel.

Lemma 3.1 ([Hei14a, Lemma 5.1]). *For $\ell = (\ell_1, \dots, \ell_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$ and $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$,*

$$h_\ell(x) \sqrt{\ell!} \leq \|\ell\|_1^n 3^{\|\ell\|_1} \prod_{i=1}^n \max(1, |x_i|^{\ell_i}).$$

Below we will also require the following bounds on T_ρ applied to the indicator function of an interval.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $B = B(0, r) \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ with $\gamma_1(B) = a$. Let $x \in \mathbb{R}$ with $|x| \leq \sqrt{-4 \log |\rho|}$, and let $|\rho| < e^{-40}$. Then*

$$\left| \frac{d}{dx} T_\rho 1_B(x) + \rho^2 \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} x r e^{-r^2/2} \right| \leq \min(a^{1/2}, (1-a)^{1/2}) 10 |\rho|^{15/4}.$$

Also, for any $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [-1, 1]$,

$$\frac{d}{dx} T_\rho f(x) = \frac{\rho}{\sqrt{1-\rho^2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} y f(x\rho + y\sqrt{1-\rho^2}) d\gamma_1(y).$$

Proof. Recall that $h_\ell(x) = \sum_{m=0}^{\lfloor \ell/2 \rfloor} \frac{x^{\ell-2m} (-1)^m 2^{-m}}{m! (\ell-2m)!}$. So, $h_1(x) = x$, $h_2(x) = (1/2)(x^2 - 1)$, $(d/dx)h_\ell = h_{\ell-1}$ for $\ell \geq 1$, and $\int_{\mathbb{R}} 1_B(x) h_2(x) \sqrt{2} d\gamma_1(x) = -r e^{-r^2/2} / \sqrt{\pi}$. Since $\gamma_1(B) = a$,

$\|1_B\|_{L_2(\gamma_n)} = a^{1/2}$ and $\|1_{B^c}\|_{L_2(\gamma_n)} = (1-a)^{1/2}$. Then, for h_ℓ with $\ell \geq 1$, the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} 1_B(x) h_\ell(x) \sqrt{\ell!} d\gamma_1(x) \right| &= \min \left(\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} 1_B(x) h_\ell(x) \sqrt{\ell!} d\gamma_1(x) \right|, \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} 1_{B^c}(x) h_\ell(x) \sqrt{\ell!} d\gamma_1(x) \right| \right) \\ &\leq \min(a^{1/2}, (1-a)^{1/2}). \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

By (7), and using $\int_{\mathbb{R}} 1_B(x) h_1(x) d\gamma_1(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} 1_B(x) h_3(x) d\gamma_1(x) = 0$, which follows from (11), we have for any $x \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dx} T_\rho 1_B(x) &= \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}} \rho^{|\ell|} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} 1_B(y) h_\ell(y) \sqrt{\ell!} d\gamma_1(y) \right) h_{\ell-1}(x) \sqrt{\ell!} \\ &= -\rho^2 \sqrt{2} x r e^{-r^2/2} / \sqrt{\pi} + \sum_{\ell \geq 4} \rho^{|\ell|} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} 1_B(y) h_\ell(y) \sqrt{\ell!} d\gamma_1(y) \right) h_{\ell-1}(x) \sqrt{\ell!}. \end{aligned}$$

Then, by (16) and Lemma 3.1, if $|x| \leq \sqrt{-4 \log \rho}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{d}{dx} T_\rho 1_B(x) + \rho^2 \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} x r e^{-r^2/2} \right| &\leq \min(a^{1/2}, (1-a)^{1/2}) \sum_{\ell \geq 4} |\rho|^{|\ell|} 3^{|\ell|-1} (|\ell| - 1) \max(1, |x|^{\ell-1}) \\ &\leq 10 \min(a^{1/2}, (1-a)^{1/2}) |\rho|^{15/4}. \end{aligned}$$

□

4. PERTURBATION OF FOURIER COEFFICIENTS

When $n = 1$, we would like to show: if $B' \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ exists such that $f = 1_{B'}$ nearly maximizes (13), then B' is close to a ball $B \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ centered at the origin. When $n = 1$, this statement amounts to a simple rearrangement argument.

Lemma 4.1. *For any $x \in \mathbb{R}$, let $g(x) = 1 - x^2$, and let $0 < c < d$. Then*

$$g(c) \geq \frac{1}{\gamma_1([c, d])} \int_c^d g(x) d\gamma_1(x) \geq g(d - (d - c)/3).$$

Lemma 4.2. *Let $n = 1$. Let $B = B(0, r)$ such that $\gamma_1(B) = a$ and*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} 1_B(x) \sqrt{2!} h_2(x) d\gamma_1(x) = \inf_{\substack{\{f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0,1], \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x) d\gamma_1(x) = a\}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x) \sqrt{2!} h_2(x) d\gamma_1(x). \quad (17)$$

Let $B' \subseteq \mathbb{R}$. Assume that there is an $\varepsilon > 0$ such that B' satisfies

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} 1_{B'}(x) \sqrt{2!} h_2(x) d\gamma_1(x) < \inf_{\substack{\{f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0,1], \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x) d\gamma_1(x) = a\}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x) \sqrt{2!} h_2(x) d\gamma_1(x) + \varepsilon a. \quad (18)$$

Then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} |1_{B'}(x) - 1_B(x)| d\gamma_1(x) < 10\varepsilon^{1/2}. \quad (19)$$

Proof. We use a rearrangement argument. Note that

$$\gamma_1(B' \setminus B) = \gamma_1(B') - \gamma_1(B' \cap B) = \gamma_1(B) - \gamma_1(B' \cap B) = \gamma_1(B \setminus B').$$

Since $B = (B' \cap B) \cup (B \setminus B')$ and $B' = (B' \cap B) \cup (B' \setminus B)$,

$$\int_B (1-x^2) d\gamma_1(x) - \int_{B'} (1-x^2) d\gamma_1(x) = \int_{B \setminus B'} (1-x^2) d\gamma_1(x) - \int_{B' \setminus B} (1-x^2) d\gamma_1(x). \quad (20)$$

Let $r_0 \in [0, r)$ such that $\gamma_1([r_0, r]) = (1/2)\gamma_1(B \setminus B')$, and let $r_1 \in (r, \infty]$ such that $\gamma_1([r, r_1]) = (1/2)\gamma_1(B' \setminus B)$. Then, since $(B \setminus B') \subseteq B = B(0, r)$,

$$\int_{B \setminus B'} (1-x^2) d\gamma_1(x) \geq 2 \int_{r_0}^r (1-x^2) d\gamma_1(x).$$

Also, since $(B' \setminus B) \subseteq B^c = B(0, r)^c$,

$$\int_{B' \setminus B} (1-x^2) d\gamma_1(x) \leq 2 \int_r^{r_1} (1-x^2) d\gamma_1(x).$$

Let $f(x) := 1 - x^2$. From (20) and Lemma 4.1,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_B (1-x^2) d\gamma_1(x) - \int_{B'} (1-x^2) d\gamma_1(x) &\geq 2 \int_{r_0}^r (1-x^2) d\gamma_1(x) - 2 \int_r^{r_1} (1-x^2) d\gamma_1(x) \\ &= \gamma_1(B \setminus B') \left(\frac{1}{\gamma_1([r_0, r])} \int_{r_0}^r (1-x^2) d\gamma_1(x) - \frac{1}{\gamma_1([r, r_1])} \int_r^{r_1} (1-x^2) d\gamma_1(x) \right) \\ &\geq \gamma_1(B \setminus B') (f(r - (r - r_0)/3) - f(r)) \\ &\geq (4r/3)(r - r_0)(1/3)\gamma_1(B \setminus B') \geq (4/9)\sqrt{2\pi r}\gamma_1(B \setminus B')\gamma_1([r_0, r]) \\ &\geq (2/9)\sqrt{2\pi r}\gamma_1(B \setminus B')^2 \geq (2\pi/9)\gamma_1(B \setminus B')^2 a. \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

Finally, by (21) we have

$$\int_B (x^2 - 1) d\gamma_1(x) - \int_{B'} (x^2 - 1) d\gamma_1(x) \leq -a(1/6) \left(\int |1_{B'}(x) - 1_B(x)| d\gamma_1(x) \right)^2. \quad (22)$$

So, combining (22), (17) and (18), $\int_{\mathbb{R}} |1_{B'}(x) - 1_B(x)| d\gamma_1(x) < 10\varepsilon^{1/2}$. \square

Lemma 4.3. *Let $n = 1$. Let $B = B(0, r')^c$ such that $\gamma_1(B) = a$ and*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} 1_B(x) \sqrt{2!} h_2(x) d\gamma_1(x) = \sup_{\substack{\{f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0,1], \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x) d\gamma_1(x) = a\}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x) \sqrt{2!} h_2(x) d\gamma_1(x). \quad (23)$$

Let $B' \subseteq \mathbb{R}^1$. Assume that there is an $\varepsilon > 0$ such that B' satisfies

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} 1_{B'}(x) \sqrt{2!} h_2(x) d\gamma_1(x) > \sup_{\substack{\{f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0,1], \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x) d\gamma_1(x) = a\}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x) \sqrt{2!} h_2(x) d\gamma_1(x) - \varepsilon(1 - a). \quad (24)$$

Then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} |1_{B'}(x) - 1_B(x)| d\gamma_1(x) < 10\varepsilon^{1/2}. \quad (25)$$

Proof. Apply Lemma 4.2 to B^c . \square

5. EXISTENCE LEMMA

We prove the existence of two sets which minimize Gaussian correlation. The argument below is almost identical to Lemma 4.3.

Lemma 5.1. *Let $\rho \in (0, 1)$, $0 < a, b < 1$. Then there exist $A, B \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ with $A = -A$, $B = -B$ such that*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} 1_A(x) T_\rho 1_B(x) d\gamma_n(x) = \inf_{\substack{\{f, g: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow [0, 1], \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x) d\gamma_n(x) = a, \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g(x) d\gamma_n(x) = b, f(x) = f(-x) \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n\}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x) T_\rho g(x) d\gamma_n(x). \quad (26)$$

If $\rho \in (-1, 0)$, the same result holds, with the additional restriction $g(x) = g(-x) \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ in (26).

Proof. Define the set $C := \{f, g: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow [0, 1], \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x) d\gamma_n(x) = a, \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g(x) d\gamma_n(x) = b, f(x) = f(-x) \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n\}$. Then C is a norm closed, convex and norm bounded subset of the Hilbert space $L_2(\gamma_n) \oplus L_2(\gamma_n)$. Therefore, $C \subseteq L_2(\gamma_n) \oplus L_2(\gamma_n)$ is weakly closed. Also, C is weakly compact by the Banach-Alaoglu Theorem. Define $T: C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$T(f, g) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x) T_\rho g(x) d\gamma_n(x). \quad (27)$$

From the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and (7), $|T(f, g)| \leq \|f\|_{L_2(\gamma_n)} \|g\|_{L_2(\gamma_n)}$. That is, T is a strongly bounded bilinear function, so T is weakly continuous. So, T is weakly continuous on the weakly compact set $C \subseteq L_2(\gamma_n) \oplus L_2(\gamma_n)$. And there exist $f, g \in C$ such that $T(f, g) = \min_{(f', g') \in C} T(f', g')$.

From (7), we have the following absolutely convergent sum

$$\begin{aligned} T(f, g) &= \sum_{\substack{\ell \in \mathbb{N}^n: \\ \|\ell\|_1 \text{ even}}} \rho^{|\ell|_1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x) \sqrt{\ell!} h_\ell(x) d\gamma_n(x) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g(y) \sqrt{\ell!} h_\ell(y) d\gamma_n(y) \\ &\quad + \sum_{\substack{\ell \in \mathbb{N}^n: \\ \|\ell\|_1 \text{ odd}}} \rho^{|\ell|_1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x) \sqrt{\ell!} h_\ell(x) d\gamma_n(x) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g(y) \sqrt{\ell!} h_\ell(y) d\gamma_n(y). \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

Since $f(x) = f(-x)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, the sum over odd terms in (28) is zero by (11).

Now, the function $g_s(x) := (g(x) + g(-x))/2$ satisfies

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g_s(x) \sqrt{\ell!} h_\ell(x) d\gamma_n(x) = \begin{cases} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g(x) \sqrt{\ell!} h_\ell(x) d\gamma_n(x) & , \|\ell\|_1 \text{ even} \\ 0 & , \|\ell\|_1 \text{ odd.} \end{cases}$$

So, $T(f, g_s) \leq T(f, g)$. (If $\rho < 0$, then we have already assumed that $g(x) = g(-x)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, so that $g_s = g$.) Let $C^s := \{f, g: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow [0, 1], f(x) = f(-x), g(x) = g(-x), \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x) d\gamma_n(x) = a, \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g(x) d\gamma_n(x) = b\}$. We have just shown that

$$\min_{(f', g') \in C} T(f', g') = \min_{(f', g') \in C^s} T(f', g'). \quad (29)$$

We therefore try to minimize T on C^s . But T is linear in each of its arguments, and T is a weakly continuous function on the weakly compact set $C^s \subseteq L_2(\gamma_n) \oplus L_2(\gamma_n)$. Therefore, there exist $A, B \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $1_A, 1_B \in C^s$ satisfy $T(1_A, 1_B) = \min_{(f', g') \in C^s} T(f', g')$. Combining this fact with (29), $T(1_A, 1_B) = \min_{(f', g') \in C^s} T(f', g') = \min_{(f', g') \in C} T(f', g')$, and $A = -A, B = -B$ since $(1_A, 1_B) \in C^s$. \square

6. PERTURBATION LEMMA

Similar to Section 4, we show: if two sets $A, B \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ nearly minimize the product of their second-order Hermite-Fourier coefficients, then these sets are close to a ball and the complement of a ball, respectively.

Lemma 6.1. *Let $n = 1$, $0 < a, b < 1$. Let $(A, B) = (B(0, r_a), B(0, r'_b)^c)$ or let $(A, B) = (B(0, r'_a)^c, B(0, r_b))$ such that $\gamma_1(A) = a$, $\gamma_1(B) = b$ and such that*

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}} 1_A h_2 \sqrt{2!} d\gamma_1(x) \int_{\mathbb{R}} 1_B(y) h_2(y) \sqrt{2!} d\gamma_1(y) \\ &= \inf_{\substack{\{f, g: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, 1], \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x) d\gamma_1(x) = a, \int_{\mathbb{R}} g(y) d\gamma_1(y) = b\}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x) \sqrt{2!} h_2(x) d\gamma_1(x) \right) \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} g(y) \sqrt{2!} h_2(y) d\gamma_1(y) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

Let $A', B' \subseteq \mathbb{R}^1$ with $\gamma_1(A') = a$ and $\gamma_1(B') = b$. Assume that there is an $\varepsilon > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}} 1_{A'}(x) h_2(x) \sqrt{2!} d\gamma_1(x) \int_{\mathbb{R}} 1_{B'}(y) h_2(y) \sqrt{2!} d\gamma_1(y) \\ & < \inf_{\substack{\{f, g: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, 1], \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x) d\gamma_1(x) = a, \int_{\mathbb{R}} g(y) d\gamma_1(y) = b\}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x) \sqrt{2!} h_2(x) d\gamma_1(x) \right) \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} g(y) \sqrt{2!} h_2(y) d\gamma_1(y) \right) \\ & \quad + \varepsilon \min(\sqrt{a}, \sqrt{1-a}) \min(\sqrt{b}, \sqrt{1-b}). \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

Then

$$\min \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |1_A(x) - 1_{A'}(x)| d\gamma_1(x), \int_{\mathbb{R}} |1_{A^c(x)} - 1_{A'}(x)| d\gamma_1(x) \right) < 10\varepsilon^{1/2} \min(a, 1-a)^{-1/4}, \quad (32)$$

$$\min \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |1_B(y) - 1_{B'}(y)| d\gamma_1(y), \int_{\mathbb{R}} |1_{B^c}(y) - 1_{B'}(y)| d\gamma_1(y) \right) < 10\varepsilon^{1/2} \min(b, 1-b)^{-1/4}. \quad (33)$$

Proof. Suppose without loss of generality that $(A, B) = (B(0, r'_a)^c, B(0, r_b))$. First, note that there exists $\tilde{B} \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ with $\gamma_1(\tilde{B}) = b$ such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} 1_{\tilde{B}}(y) \sqrt{2!} h_2(y) d\gamma_1(y) = \inf_{\substack{\{g: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, 0 \leq g \leq 1 \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}} g(y) d\gamma_1(y) = b\}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} g(y) \sqrt{2!} h_2(y) d\gamma_1(y).$$

So, using $\|1_{\tilde{B}}\|_{L_2(\gamma_1)} = \sqrt{b}$ and the Cauchy Schwarz inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \inf_{\substack{\{g: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, 0 \leq g \leq 1 \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}} g(y) d\gamma_1(y) = b\}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} g(y) \sqrt{2!} h_2(y) d\gamma_1(y) \right| \\ &= \left| \min \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} 1_{\tilde{B}}(y) \sqrt{2!} h_2(y) d\gamma_1(y), \int_{\mathbb{R}} 1_{\tilde{B}^c}(y) \sqrt{2!} h_2(y) d\gamma_1(y) \right) \right| \leq \min(\sqrt{b}, \sqrt{1-b}). \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

By (31),

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} 1_{A'}(x) h_2(x) \sqrt{2!} d\gamma_1(x) > \sup_{\substack{\{f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0,1], \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x) d\gamma_1(x) = a\}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x) \sqrt{2!} h_2(x) d\gamma_1(x) \right) - \varepsilon \min(\sqrt{a}, \sqrt{1-a}), \quad (35)$$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} 1_{B'}(y) h_2(y) \sqrt{2!} d\gamma_1(y) < \inf_{\substack{\{g: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, 0 \leq g \leq 1, \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}} g(y) d\gamma_1(y) = b\}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} g(y) \sqrt{2!} h_2(y) d\gamma_1(y) \right) + \varepsilon \min(\sqrt{b}, \sqrt{1-b}). \quad (36)$$

For example, if (35) is false, then (34) implies

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}} 1_{A'}(x) h_2(x) \sqrt{2!} d\gamma_1(x) \int_{\mathbb{R}} 1_{B'}(y) h_2(y) \sqrt{2!} d\gamma_1(y) \\ & \geq \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}} 1_{A'}(x) h_2(x) \sqrt{2!} d\gamma_1(x) \right] \cdot \inf_{\substack{\{g: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, 0 \leq g \leq 1, \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}} g(y) d\gamma_1(y) = b\}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} g(y) \sqrt{2!} h_2(y) d\gamma_1(y) \right) \\ & > \left[\sup_{\substack{\{f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0,1], \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x) d\gamma_1(x) = a\}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x) \sqrt{2!} h_2(x) d\gamma_1(x) \right) - \varepsilon \min(\sqrt{a}, \sqrt{1-a}) \right] \cdot \\ & \quad \inf_{\substack{\{g: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, 0 \leq g \leq 1, \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}} g(y) d\gamma_1(y) = b\}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} g(y) \sqrt{2!} h_2(y) d\gamma_1(y) \right) \\ & \geq \inf_{\substack{\{f, g: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0,1], \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x) d\gamma_1(x) = a, \int_{\mathbb{R}} g(y) d\gamma_1(y) = b\}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x) \sqrt{2!} h_2(x) d\gamma_1(x) \right) \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} g(y) \sqrt{2!} h_2(y) d\gamma_1(y) \right) \\ & \quad + \varepsilon \min(\sqrt{a}, \sqrt{1-a}) \min(\sqrt{b}, \sqrt{1-b}). \end{aligned}$$

This inequality contradicts (31), so that (35) holds. Similarly, (36) holds.

So, (35), (36) and Lemmas 4.2 and 4.3 imply (32) and (33). \square

7. FIRST VARIATION

The following first variation argument is well-known.

Lemma 7.1. *Let $\rho \in (0, 1)$ and let $0 < a, b < 1$. From (8) and Lemma 5.1, let $(A, B) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$ with $A = -A, B = -B$ such that*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} 1_A(x) T_\rho 1_B(x) d\gamma_n(x) = \inf_{\substack{\{f, g: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow [0,1], \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x) d\gamma_n(x) = a \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g(x) d\gamma_n(x) = b, f(x) = f(-x) \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n\}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x) T_\rho g(x) d\gamma_n(x). \quad (37)$$

Then there exist $c, c' \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$A = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : T_\rho 1_B(x) \leq c\} \wedge B = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : T_\rho 1_A(x) \leq c'\}. \quad (38)$$

If $\rho \in (-1, 0)$, the same result holds, with the additional restriction $g(x) = g(-x) \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ in (37).

Proof. We argue by contradiction. Suppose there exists $x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $x_1 \notin A, x_2 \in A$ such that $T_\rho 1_B(x_1) > T_\rho 1_B(x_2)$. Let $U_1 \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be a small ball around x_1 and let U_2 be a small ball around x_2 such that $T_\rho 1_B(u_1) > T_\rho 1_B(u_2)$, $\forall u_1 \in U_1, u_2 \in U_2$. Also, assume that $U_1 \cap U_2 = \emptyset$ and $\gamma_n(U_1) = \gamma_n(U_2)$. Define $A' := (A \setminus U_2) \cup U_1$. Then $1_{A'} = 1_A - 1_{U_2} + 1_{U_1}$, and for U_1, U_2 sufficiently small,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} 1_{A'}(x) T_\rho 1_B(x) d\gamma_n(x) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} 1_A(x) T_\rho 1_B(x) d\gamma_n(x) + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (1_{U_1}(x) - 1_{U_2}(x)) T_\rho 1_B(x) d\gamma_n(x) \\ &> \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} 1_A(x) T_\rho 1_B(x) d\gamma_n(x). \end{aligned}$$

This inequality contradicts the maximality of A . We conclude that no such x_1, x_2 exist, so (38) holds. \square

8. FIRST MAIN THEOREM

Theorem 8.1 (Conjecture 2, $n = 1$, ρ small). *Let $n = 1$, $0 < a, b < 1$, and let $|\rho| < \min(e^{-40}, a^{20}, (1-a)^{20}, b^{20}, (1-b)^{20})/1000$. By Lemma 2.1, let $(A, B) = (B(0, r_a), B(0, r'_b)^c)$ or let $(A, B) = (B(0, r'_a)^c, B(0, r_b))$ such that $\gamma_1(A) = a, \gamma_n(B) = b$ and such that*

$$\begin{aligned} &\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} 1_A(x) \sqrt{2!} h_2(x) d\gamma_1(x) \right) \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} 1_B(y) \sqrt{2!} h_2(y) d\gamma_1(y) \right) \\ &= \inf_{\substack{\{f, g: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, 1], \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x) d\gamma_1(x) = a, \int_{\mathbb{R}} g(y) d\gamma_1(y) = b\}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x) \sqrt{2!} h_2(x) d\gamma_1(x) \right) \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} g(y) \sqrt{2!} h_2(y) d\gamma_1(y) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (39)$$

From Lemma 5.1, let $A', B' \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ such that $\gamma_1(A') = a, \gamma_1(B') = b$ and such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} 1_{A'}(x) T_\rho 1_{B'}(x) d\gamma_1(x) = \inf_{\substack{\{f, g: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow [0, 1], \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x) d\gamma_1(x) = a, \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}} g(x) d\gamma_1(x) = b, f(x) = f(-x), \forall x \in \mathbb{R}\}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x) T_\rho g(x) d\gamma_n(x). \quad (40)$$

If $\rho > 0$, then $(A, B) = (A', B')$. If $\rho < 0$, the same result holds, with the additional restriction $g(x) = g(-x) \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ in (40).

Proof. Without loss of generality $(A, B) = (B(0, r_a), B(0, r'_b)^c)$.

Step 1. Approximating Noise Stability using second order Hermite-Fourier coefficients.

From (8), and using that $A' = -A'$ with (11)

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} 1_{A'}(x) T_\rho 1_{B'}(x) d\gamma_1(x) - \gamma_1(A') \gamma_1(B')}{\rho^2} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} 1_{A'}(x) h_2(x) \sqrt{2!} d\gamma_1(x) \int_{\mathbb{R}} 1_{B'}(y) h_2(y) \sqrt{2!} d\gamma_1(y) \right| \\ &\leq |\rho|^2 \min(\sqrt{a}, \sqrt{1-a}) \min(\sqrt{b}, \sqrt{1-b}). \end{aligned} \quad (41)$$

From (41) and (40),

$$\begin{aligned}
& 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} 1_{A'}(x) h_2(x) \sqrt{2!} d\gamma_1(x) \int_{\mathbb{R}} 1_{B'}(y) h_2(y) \sqrt{2!} d\gamma_1(y) \\
& \leq \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}} 1_{A'}(x) T_{\rho} 1_{B'}(x) d\gamma_1(x) - \gamma_1(A') \gamma_1(B')}{\rho^2} + |\rho|^2 \min(\sqrt{a}, \sqrt{1-a}) \min(\sqrt{b}, \sqrt{1-b}) \\
& \stackrel{(40)}{=} \inf_{\substack{\{f, g: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0,1], \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x) d\gamma_1(x) = a, \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}} g(x) d\gamma_1(x) = b, f(x) = f(-x) \forall x \in \mathbb{R}\}} \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x) T_{\rho} g(x) d\gamma_1(x) - ab}{\rho^2} \\
& \quad + |\rho|^2 \min(\sqrt{a}, \sqrt{1-a}) \min(\sqrt{b}, \sqrt{1-b}).
\end{aligned} \tag{42}$$

Similarly, from (41)

$$\begin{aligned}
& 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} 1_A(x) h_2(x) \sqrt{2!} d\gamma_1(x) \int_{\mathbb{R}} 1_B(x) h_2(x) \sqrt{2!} d\gamma_1(x) \\
& \geq \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}} 1_A(x) T_{\rho} 1_B(x) d\gamma_1(x) - \gamma_1(A) \gamma_1(B)}{\rho^2} - |\rho|^2 \min(\sqrt{a}, \sqrt{1-a}) \min(\sqrt{b}, \sqrt{1-b}) \\
& \geq \inf_{\substack{\{f, g: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0,1], \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x) d\gamma_1(x) = a, \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}} g(x) d\gamma_1(x) = b, f(x) = f(-x) \forall x \in \mathbb{R}\}} \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x) T_{\rho} g(x) d\gamma_1(x) - ab}{\rho^2} \\
& \quad - |\rho|^2 \min(\sqrt{a}, \sqrt{1-a}) \min(\sqrt{b}, \sqrt{1-b}).
\end{aligned} \tag{43}$$

Combining (43), (45) and (39),

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}} 1_{A'}(x) h_2(x) \sqrt{2!} d\gamma_1(x) \int_{\mathbb{R}} 1_{B'}(y) h_2(y) \sqrt{2!} d\gamma_1(y) \\
& \leq \inf_{\substack{\{f, g: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0,1], \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x) d\gamma_1(x) = a, \int_{\mathbb{R}} g(y) d\gamma_1(y) = b\}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x) \sqrt{2!} h_2(x) d\gamma_1(x) \right) \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} g(y) \sqrt{2!} h_2(y) d\gamma_1(y) \right) \\
& \quad + 2 |\rho|^2 \min(\sqrt{a}, \sqrt{1-a}) \min(\sqrt{b}, \sqrt{1-b}).
\end{aligned} \tag{44}$$

Step 2. Optimal sets are close to balls or their complement.

From (46) and Lemma 6.1,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} |1_A(x) - 1_{A'}(x)|^2 d\gamma_1(x) < 10 |\rho|^{7/8} \wedge \int_{\mathbb{R}} |1_B(y) - 1_{B'}(y)|^2 d\gamma_1(y) < 10 |\rho|^{7/8}. \tag{45}$$

Then, by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, for every $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} (1_A(x) - 1_{A'}(x)) \sqrt{\ell!} h_{\ell}(x) d\gamma_1(x) \right| < \sqrt{10} |\rho|^{7/16} \\
& \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} (1_B(y) - 1_{B'}(y)) \sqrt{\ell!} h_{\ell}(y) d\gamma_1(y) \right| < \sqrt{10} |\rho|^{7/16}.
\end{aligned} \tag{46}$$

Step 3. Estimating $T_{\rho} 1_{B'}$.

Let $g = 1_B - 1_{B'}$. Recall that $b = 2 \int_{r_b}^{\infty} e^{-x^2/2} dx / \sqrt{2\pi}$. Then $\min(b, 1-b)/10 \leq r_b \leq \sqrt{-3 \log \min(b, 1-b)}$. Since $0 < |\rho| < \min(b, 1-b) < 1$, we have $-\log |\rho| > -\log \min(b, 1-b)$. Let $|x| \leq \sqrt{-4 \log |\rho|}$. Since $|\rho| < e^{-10}$,

$$10 |\rho|^{11/16} \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}: |\ell| \geq 4} |\rho|^{|\ell|-3} |\ell| 3^{|\ell|} (-4 \log |\rho|)^{|\ell|/2} < 1. \quad (49)$$

By (11), $\int_{\mathbb{R}} g(x) h_3(x) d\gamma_1(x) = 0$. So, using Lemma 3.1,

$$\left| T_{\rho} g(x) - \rho^2 \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} (x^2 - 1) \int_{\mathbb{R}} (1_B(x) - 1_{B'}(x)) \sqrt{2} h_2(x) d\gamma_1(x) \right| \quad (50)$$

$$\stackrel{(7)}{\leq} \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}: |\ell| \geq 4} |\rho|^{|\ell|} \left| \sqrt{\ell!} h_{\ell}(x) \right| \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} h_{\ell}(x) \sqrt{\ell!} g(x) d\gamma_1(x) \right|$$

$$\stackrel{\text{Lemma 3.1}}{\leq} |\rho|^3 \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}: |\ell| \geq 4} |\rho|^{|\ell|-3} |\ell| 3^{|\ell|} \max(1, |x|^{|\ell|}) \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} h_{\ell}(x) \sqrt{\ell!} g(x) d\gamma_1(x) \right| \quad (51)$$

$$\stackrel{(48)}{\leq} 10 |\rho|^{55/16} \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}: |\ell| \geq 4} |\rho|^{|\ell|-3} |\ell| 3^{|\ell|} \max(1, |x|^{|\ell|})$$

$$\leq 10 |\rho|^{55/16} \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}: |\ell| \geq 4} |\rho|^{|\ell|-3} |\ell| 3^{|\ell|} (-4 \log |\rho|)^{|\ell|/2} \stackrel{(49)}{\leq} |\rho|^{11/4}. \quad (52)$$

That is, for any $|x| \leq \sqrt{-4 \log |\rho|}$,

$$|T_{\rho} 1_B(x) - T_{\rho} 1_{B'}(x) - \rho^2 \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} (x^2 - 1) \int_{\mathbb{R}} (1_B(x) - 1_{B'}(x)) \sqrt{2} h_2(x) d\gamma_1(x)| = |T_{\rho} g(x)| \leq |\rho|^{11/4}. \quad (53)$$

Similarly, for any $|x| \leq \sqrt{-3 \log |\rho|}$,

$$\left| \frac{d}{dx} T_{\rho} (1_B - 1_{B'})(x) - \rho^2 \sqrt{2} x \int_{\mathbb{R}} (1_B(y) - 1_{B'}(y)) \sqrt{2} h_2(y) d\gamma_1(y) \right| \leq |\rho|^{11/4} \quad (54)$$

Step 4. Finding the level sets of $T_{\rho} 1_{B'}$

We now apply Lemma 3.2. Let $\min(b, 1-b)/10 \leq |x| \leq \sqrt{-3 \log |\rho|}$. Then, using that $\min(b, 1-b)/10 \leq r'_b \leq \sqrt{-2 \log \min(b, 1-b)}$,

$$\text{sign}(x) \cdot \frac{d}{dx} T_{\rho} 1_B(x) \geq |x| \rho^2 \min(b, (1-b)/10) \geq \rho^2 \min(b, 1-b, a, 1-a)^2/10. \quad (55)$$

Let $\min(b, 1-b)/10 \leq r_0 \leq \sqrt{-3 \log |\rho|}$. By (55), using that $\text{sign}(x) \frac{d}{dx} T_\rho 1_B(x) < 0$ for all $x \neq 0$, there is a $\lambda = \lambda(r_0) \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} x \in B(0, r_0) &\implies T_\rho 1_B(x) \leq \lambda, \\ x \in B(0, \sqrt{-3 \log |\rho|}) \setminus B(0, r_0) &\implies T_\rho 1_B(x) > \lambda, \\ x \in B(0, r_0 - (1/10) \min(e^{-40}, b, 1-b, a, 1-a)) \\ &\implies T_\rho 1_B(x) \leq \lambda - (1/100) \rho^2 \min(e^{-40}, b, 1-b, a, 1-a)^3, \end{aligned} \quad (56)$$

$$\begin{aligned} x \in B(0, \sqrt{-3 \log |\rho|}) \setminus B(0, r_0 + (1/10) \min(e^{-40}, b, 1-b, a, 1-a)) \\ \implies T_\rho 1_B(x) > \lambda + (1/100) \rho^2 \min(e^{-40}, b, 1-b, a, 1-a)^3. \end{aligned} \quad (57)$$

Also, we may take λ to be a continuous, strictly increasing function of r_0 . By (53), (56) and (57), and using $|\rho| < \min(e^{-40}, a^{20}, (1-a)^{20}, b^{20}, (1-b)^{20})/1000$.

$$\begin{aligned} x \in B(0, r_0 - (1/10) \min(e^{-40}, b, 1-b, a, 1-a)) &\implies T_\rho 1_{B'}(x) \leq \lambda, \\ x \in B(0, \sqrt{-3 \log |\rho|}) \setminus B(0, r_0 + (1/10) \min(e^{-40}, b, 1-b, a, 1-a)) \\ &\implies T_\rho 1_{B'}(x) > \lambda. \end{aligned} \quad (58)$$

By Lemma 7.1, there exists $c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$A' = \{x \in \mathbb{R}: T_\rho 1_{B'}(x) \leq c_1\} \wedge B' = \{x \in \mathbb{R}: T_\rho 1_{A'}(x) \leq c_2\}. \quad (59)$$

Since $\gamma_1(B(0, \sqrt{-3 \log |\rho|})^c) < \min(a, 1-a)$, $B(0, \sqrt{-3 \log |\rho|}) \cap A' \neq \emptyset$. So, by (59) there exists an $x \in B(0, \sqrt{-3 \log |\rho|})$ such that $T_\rho 1_{B'}(x) \leq c_1$. So, there exists r_0 such that $\lambda(r_0) = c_1$. Rewriting (58),

$$\begin{aligned} B(0, r_0 - (1/10) \min(e^{-40}, b, 1-b, a, 1-a)) &\subseteq \{x \in \mathbb{R}: T_\rho 1_{B'}(x) \leq c_1\} \\ \wedge (B(0, \sqrt{-3 \log |\rho|}) \setminus B(0, r_0 + (1/10) \min(e^{-40}, b, 1-b, a, 1-a))) \\ &\cap \{x \in \mathbb{R}: T_\rho 1_{B'}(x) \leq c_1\} = \emptyset. \end{aligned} \quad (60)$$

Combining (55) and (54), we have $\frac{d}{dx} T_\rho 1_{B'}(x) \text{sign}(x) > 0$ for all x such that $\sqrt{-3 \log |\rho|} \geq |x| \geq \min(b, 1-b, a, 1-a)/10$. Using this fact and (60), there exists $\min(b, 1-b)/10 \leq r_1 \leq r'_b$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} B(0, r_1) &\subseteq \{x \in \mathbb{R}: T_\rho 1_{B'}(x) \leq c_1\} \\ \wedge [B(0, \sqrt{-3 \log |\rho|}) \setminus B(0, r_1)] &\cap \{x \in \mathbb{R}: T_\rho 1_{B'}(x) \leq c_1\} = \emptyset. \end{aligned} \quad (61)$$

Repeating the above implications with the roles of A' and B' reversed, there exists $\min(a, 1-a, b, 1-b)/10 \leq r_2 \leq r_a$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} B(0, r_2) &\cap \{x \in \mathbb{R}: T_\rho 1_{A'}(x) \leq c_2\} = \emptyset \\ \wedge [B(0, \sqrt{-3 \log |\rho|}) \setminus B(0, r_2)] &\subseteq \{x \in \mathbb{R}: T_\rho 1_{A'}(x) \leq c_2\}. \end{aligned} \quad (62)$$

Step 5. A final iterative argument to eliminate points far from the origin.

We now construct an iteration. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$. It is given that

$$\begin{aligned}
B(0, r_1) &\subseteq \{x \in \mathbb{R}: T_\rho 1_{B'}(x) \leq c_1\} \\
&\wedge [B(0, \sqrt{-(k+2)\log|\rho|}) \setminus B(0, r_1)] \cap \{x \in \mathbb{R}: T_\rho 1_{B'}(x) \leq c_1\} = \emptyset, \\
B(0, r_2) &\cap \{x \in \mathbb{R}: T_\rho 1_{A'}(x) \leq c_2\} = \emptyset \\
&\wedge [B(0, \sqrt{-(k+2)\log|\rho|}) \setminus B(0, r_2)] \subseteq \{x \in \mathbb{R}: T_\rho 1_{A'}(x) \leq c_2\}.
\end{aligned} \tag{63}$$

We then conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}
B(0, r_1) &\subseteq \{x \in \mathbb{R}: T_\rho 1_{B'}(x) \leq c_1\} \\
&\wedge [B(0, \sqrt{-(k+3)\log|\rho|}) \setminus B(0, r_1)] \cap \{x \in \mathbb{R}: T_\rho 1_{B'}(x) \leq c_1\} = \emptyset, \\
B(0, r_2) &\cap \{x \in \mathbb{R}: T_\rho 1_{A'}(x) \leq c_2\} = \emptyset \\
&\wedge [B(0, \sqrt{-(k+3)\log|\rho|}) \setminus B(0, r_2)] \subseteq \{x \in \mathbb{R}: T_\rho 1_{A'}(x) \leq c_2\}.
\end{aligned} \tag{64}$$

(Note that $k = 2$ for (63) is exactly (61) and (62).)

Let x with $\sqrt{-(k+2)\log|\rho|} \leq |x| \leq \sqrt{-(k+3)\log|\rho|}$. From Lemma 3.2 and (63),

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\sqrt{1-\rho^2}}{|\rho|} \frac{d}{dx} T_\rho 1_{A'}(x) &= \frac{\sqrt{1-\rho^2}}{|\rho|} \frac{d}{dx} T_\rho 1_{B(0, r_1)}(x) + \frac{\sqrt{1-\rho^2}}{|\rho|} \frac{d}{dx} T_\rho (1_{A'} - 1_{B(0, r_1)})(x) \\
&\leq \int_{-(r_1+x|\rho|)/\sqrt{1-\rho^2}}^{-(r_1-x|\rho|)/\sqrt{1-\rho^2}} y d\gamma_1(y) + \int_{-(k+2)(1-|\rho|)\log|\rho|}^{\infty} y d\gamma_1(y) \\
&\leq \int_{-(r_1-3|\rho|\log|\rho|)/\sqrt{1-\rho^2}}^{-r_1/\sqrt{1-\rho^2}} y d\gamma_1(y) + |\rho|^{(k+2)^2(1-\rho)^2/2} \\
&\leq \frac{30|\rho|}{30|\rho|} \int_{-(r_1+30|\rho|)/\sqrt{1-\rho^2}}^{-r_1/\sqrt{1-\rho^2}} y d\gamma_1(y) + |\rho|^{(k+2)^2(1-\rho)^2/2} \\
&\leq -30|\rho| \frac{1}{30\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-(r_1+30|\rho|)^2/[2(1-\rho^2)]} + |\rho|^{(k+2)^2(1-\rho)^2/2} \\
&\leq -|\rho| e^{-(11/10)r_1^2/2} + |\rho|^{(k+2)^2(1-\rho)^2/2} < 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, $(\sqrt{1-\rho^2}/|\rho|) \frac{d}{dx} T_\rho 1_{B'}(x) > 0$. Therefore, (63) implies that (64) holds. So, let $k \rightarrow \infty$ in (64). Combining (64) and (59) then completes the theorem.

$$B(0, r_2) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}: T_\rho 1_{A'} \leq c_1\} = B' \wedge B(0, r_1) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}: T_\rho 1_{B'} \leq c_1\} = A'.$$

□

9. A SECOND VARIATION FORMULA

In preparation for later sections, we now investigate a second variation formula for quadratic functionals. Lemma 9.1 below essentially appears in [CS07, Theorem 2.6]. However, their statement and proof are slightly different than we require. We prove Lemmas 9.1 and Lemma 9.2 in the Appendix, Section 12 (see Lemmas 12.2 and 12.3.)

Assumption 1. Let $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be a set with smooth boundary, and let $N: \partial A \rightarrow S^{n-1}$ denote the unit exterior normal to ∂A . Let $X: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ be a vector field. Let $\Psi: \mathbb{R}^n \times (-1, 1)$

such that $\Psi(x, 0) = x$ and such that $\frac{d}{dt}|_{t=0}\Psi(x, t) = X(\Psi(x, t))$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n, t \in (-1, 1)$. For any $t \in (-1, 1)$, let $A^{(t)} = \Psi(A, t)$. Note that $A^{(0)} = A$. Define

$$V(x, t) := \int_{A^{(t)}} G(x, y) dy, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \forall t \in (-1, 1).$$

Lemma 9.1 (The Second Variation, [CS07, Theorem 2.6]). *Let $G: \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a Schwartz function. For any $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$, let $F(A) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} 1_A(x) G(x, y) 1_A(y) dx dy$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d^2}{dt^2} F(A^{(t)})|_{t=0} &= \int_{\partial A} \int_{\partial A} G(x, y) \langle X(x), N(x) \rangle \langle X(y), N(y) \rangle dx dy \\ &\quad + \int_{\partial A} \operatorname{div}(V(x, 0) X(x)) \langle X(x), N(x) \rangle dx. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 9.2 (Variation of Gaussian Measure).

$$\frac{d}{dt}|_{t=0} \gamma_n(A^{(t)}) = \int_{\partial A} \langle X(x), N(x) \rangle \gamma_n(x) dx.$$

$$\frac{d^2}{dt^2}|_{t=0} \gamma_n(A^{(t)}) = \int_{\partial A} (\operatorname{div}(X(x)) - \langle X(x), x \rangle) \langle X(x), N(x) \rangle \gamma_n(x) dx.$$

9.1. Noise Stability. As our first application of Lemma 9.1, we study the second variation of the noise stability.

For any $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$, let $G(x, y) = \frac{e^{-\| \rho x - y \|^2 / [2(1-\rho^2)]} \gamma_n(x)}{(1-\rho^2)^{n/2} (2\pi)^{n/2}} = \frac{e^{\frac{-\|x\|_2^2 - \|y\|_2^2 + 2\rho \langle x, y \rangle}{2(1-\rho^2)}}}{(1-\rho^2)^{n/2} (2\pi)^n}$. Suppose Assumption 1 holds. For any $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$, for any $\rho \in (-1, 1)$, define

$$F_\rho(A) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} 1_A(x) G(x, y) 1_A(y) dx dy = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} 1_A(x) T_\rho 1_A(x) d\gamma_n(x).$$

Then, for any $t \in (-1, 1)$ and for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, using Assumption 1 we have

$$V(x, t) := \int_{A^{(t)}} G(x, y) dy = T_\rho 1_{A^{(t)}}(x) \gamma_n(x). \quad (65)$$

Lemma 9.3 (Second Variation of Noise Stability). *Let $\rho \in (-1, 1)$. Assume Assumption 1 holds with $\frac{d^2}{dt^2}|_{t=0} \gamma_n(A^{(t)}) = 0$. Assume also that $T_\rho 1_A(x)$ is constant for all $x \in \partial A$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d^2}{dt^2} F(A^{(t)})|_{t=0} &= \int_{\partial A} \int_{\partial A} G(x, y) \langle X(x), N(x) \rangle \langle X(y), N(y) \rangle dx dy \\ &\quad + \int_{\partial A} \langle \nabla T_\rho 1_A(x), X(x) \rangle \langle X(x), N(x) \rangle dx. \end{aligned} \quad (66)$$

Proof. Applying Lemma 9.1,

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2} \frac{d^2}{dt^2} F(A^{(t)})|_{t=0} &= \int_{\partial A} \int_{\partial A} G(x, y) \langle X(x), N(x) \rangle \langle X(y), N(y) \rangle dx dy \\
&\quad + \int_{\partial A} \operatorname{div}(V(x, 0) X(x)) \langle X(x), N(x) \rangle dx \\
&\stackrel{(65)}{=} \int_{\partial A} \int_{\partial A} G(x, y) \langle X(x), N(x) \rangle \langle X(y), N(y) \rangle dx dy \\
&\quad + \int_{\partial A} \operatorname{div}(T_\rho 1_A(x) \gamma_n(x) X(x)) \langle X(x), N(x) \rangle dx \\
&= \int_{\partial A} \int_{\partial A} G(x, y) \langle X(x), N(x) \rangle \langle X(y), N(y) \rangle dx dy \\
&\quad + \int_{\partial A} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n T_\rho 1_A(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} X^{(i)}(x) - x_i T_\rho 1_A(x) X^{(i)}(x) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} T_\rho 1_A(x) X^{(i)}(x) \right) \langle X(x), N(x) \rangle \gamma_n(x) dx.
\end{aligned} \tag{67}$$

Using Lemma 9.2, and that $T_\rho 1_A(x)$ is constant when $x \in \partial A$, we then get (66). \square

9.2. A Poincaré-Type Inequality. In our investigation of the second variation of the ball or its complement in Section 9.3 below, we require the following Poincaré-type inequality.

Lemma 9.4 (A Poincaré-Type Inequality on the Sphere). *Let $r > 0$ and let $B(0, r) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$. Let $f: \partial B(0, r) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with $\int_{\partial B(0, r)} f(x) dx = 0$. Then*

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \left(\int_{\partial B(0, r)} x_i^2 f(x) dx \right)^2 \leq \frac{2r^{n+3} \operatorname{Vol}(S^{n-1})}{n(n+2)} \int_{\partial B(0, r)} (f(x))^2 dx. \tag{68}$$

Moreover, equality occurs when $f(x) = (n-1)x_1^2 - \sum_{j=2}^n x_j^2$ for any $x \in \partial B(0, r)$.

Proof. For any $n \geq 1$, write $\operatorname{Vol}(S^{n-1}) = 2\pi \prod_{\ell=1}^{n-2} \int_0^\pi \sin^\ell(x) dx$. We first claim

$$\int_{\partial B(0, r)} x_1^4 dx = \frac{3r^{n+3} \operatorname{Vol}(S^{n-1})}{n(n+2)}, \quad \int_{\partial B(0, r)} x_1^2 x_2^2 dx = \frac{r^{n+3} \operatorname{Vol}(S^{n-1})}{n(n+2)}. \tag{69}$$

Indeed, using (hyper)-spherical coordinates,

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\partial B(0,r)} x_1^4 dx &= \int_0^\pi \cdots \int_0^\pi \int_0^{2\pi} r^4 \cos^4(\phi_{n-1}) \prod_{i=1}^{n-2} \sin^4 \phi_i \prod_{j=1}^{n-2} \sin^{n-1-j}(\phi_j) r^{n-1} d\phi_{n-1} \cdots d\phi_1 \\
&= r^{n+3} \int_0^\pi \cdots \int_0^\pi \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{1}{4} (1 + \cos(2\phi_{n-1}))^2 \prod_{j=1}^{n-2} \sin^{n+3-j}(\phi_j) d\phi_{n-1} \cdots d\phi_1 \\
&= r^{n+3} \int_0^\pi \cdots \int_0^\pi \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{3}{8} \prod_{j=1}^{n-2} \sin^{n+3-j}(\phi_j) d\phi_{n-1} \cdots d\phi_1 = \frac{3 \cdot 2\pi}{8} r^{n+3} \prod_{\ell=5}^{n+2} \int_0^\pi \sin^\ell(x) dx \\
&= \frac{3}{8} r^{n+3} \text{Vol}(S^{n-1}) \frac{\int_0^\pi \sin^{n+2}(x) dx \int_0^\pi \sin^{n+1}(x) dx \int_0^\pi \sin^n(x) dx \int_0^\pi \sin^{n-1}(x) dx}{\int_0^\pi \sin(x) dx \int_0^\pi \sin^2(x) dx \int_0^\pi \sin^3(x) dx \int_0^\pi \sin^4(x) dx} \\
&\stackrel{(73)}{=} \frac{3}{8} r^{n+3} \text{Vol}(S^{n-1}) \frac{(2\pi)^2 \frac{(n+1)!!}{(n+2)!!} \frac{(n)!!}{(n+1)!!} \frac{(n-1)!!}{n!!} \frac{(n-2)!!}{(n-1)!!}}{2(\pi/2)(4/3)(3\pi/8)} = \frac{3r^{n+3} \text{Vol}(S^{n-1})}{n(n+2)}.
\end{aligned}$$

A similar calculation proves the other part of (69).

Now, for any $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, let $g_i: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ so that $g_i(x) = (n-1)x_i^2 - \sum_{j \neq i} x_j^2$. It then follows from (69) that

$$\int_{\partial B(0,r)} (g_i(x))^2 dx = n(n-1) \left(\int_{\partial B(0,r)} x_1^4 dx - \int_{\partial B(0,r)} x_1^2 x_2^2 dx \right) = \frac{2(n-1)}{n+2} r^{n+3} \text{Vol}(S^{n-1}). \quad (70)$$

And if $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ with $j \neq i$, then

$$\int_{\partial B(0,r)} g_i(x) g_j(x) dx = n \left(- \int_{\partial B(0,r)} x_1^4 dx + \int_{\partial B(0,r)} x_1^2 x_2^2 dx \right) = -\frac{2}{n+2} r^{n+3} \text{Vol}(S^{n-1}). \quad (71)$$

So, the functions g_1, \dots, g_n span an $(n-1)$ -dimensional vector space, $\sum_{i=1}^n g_i = 0$, and $\langle g_i, g_j \rangle := \int_{\partial B(0,r)} g_i(x) g_j(x) dx = -2(n-1) \frac{r^{n+3} \text{Vol}(S^{n-1})}{n(n+2)}$ if $i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ with $i \neq j$.

We now proceed to prove (68). Using $\int_{\partial B(0,r)} f(x) dx = 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=1}^n \left(\int_{\partial B(0,r)} x_i^2 f(x) dx \right)^2 &= \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\int_{\partial B(0,r)} \frac{1}{n} [(x_1^2 + \cdots + x_n^2) + \sum_{j \neq i} (x_i^2 - x_j^2)] f(x) dx \right)^2 \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{n^2} \left(\int_{\partial B(0,r)} g_i(x) f(x) dx \right)^2.
\end{aligned}$$

So, to prove (68), we can equivalently prove that

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \left(\int_{\partial B(0,r)} g_i(x) f(x) dx \right)^2 \leq \frac{2n}{n+2} r^{n+3} \text{Vol}(S^{n-1}) \int_{\partial B(0,r)} (f(x))^2 dx. \quad (72)$$

Since the polynomials g_1, \dots, g_n are polynomials which are homogeneous of degree 2, by expanding f in spherical harmonics, it suffices to assume that f is also a polynomial which is homogeneous of degree 2. Then, the left side of (72) is the sum of the squared lengths of the

projections of f onto g_1, \dots, g_n . Since $\sum_{i=1}^n g_i = 0$, g_1, \dots, g_n span an $(n-1)$ -dimensional space, and $\langle g_i, g_j \rangle$ is a constant for any $i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ with $i \neq j$, we conclude that the left side of (72) is bounded by a multiple of the right side. More specifically, if $\int_{\partial B(0,r)} (f(x))^2 dx$ is fixed, then the left side of (72) is maximized whenever f is in the span of g_1, \dots, g_n . In particular, the left side of (72) is maximized when f is a multiple of f_1 . And indeed, equality holds in (72) in this case, since if $f = g_1$, we have by (70) and (71),

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\int_{\partial B(0,r)} g_i(x) f(x) dx \right)^2 &= \langle g_1, g_1 \rangle^2 + (n-1) \langle g_1, g_2 \rangle^2 \\ &= 4(n-1)^2 \frac{r^{2n+6} \text{Vol}(S^{n-1})^2}{(n+2)^2} + 4(n-1) \frac{r^{2n+6} \text{Vol}(S^{n-1})^2}{(n+2)^2} = \frac{4n(n-1)}{(n+2)^2} r^{2n+6} \text{Vol}(S^{n-1})^2. \end{aligned}$$

And by (70),

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \int_{\partial B(0,r)} (f(x))^2 dx = \frac{2(n-1)}{n+2} r^{n+3} \text{Vol}(S^{n-1}).$$

So,

$$\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \left(\int_{\partial B(0,r)} g_i(x) f(x) dx \right)^2}{\int_{\partial B(0,r)} (f(x))^2 dx} = \frac{\frac{4n(n-1)}{(n+2)^2} r^{2n+6} \text{Vol}(S^{n-1})^2}{\frac{2(n-1)}{n+2} r^{n+3} \text{Vol}(S^{n-1})} = \frac{2n}{n+2} r^{n+3} \text{Vol}(S^{n-1}).$$

That is, (72) holds, and the proof is complete. \square

Lemma 9.5. *Let k be a positive integer. Then*

$$\int_0^\pi \sin^k(\theta) d\theta = \begin{cases} \pi \frac{(k-1)!!}{(k)!!} & , \text{ if } k \text{ is even} \\ 2 \frac{(k-1)!!}{(k)!!} & , \text{ if } k \text{ is odd.} \end{cases} \quad (73)$$

Proof. Let $k \geq 0$. Let $c_k := \int_0^\pi \sin^k(\theta) d\theta$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} c_k &= - \int_0^\pi \sin^{k-1}(\theta) \frac{d}{d\theta} \cos(\theta) d\theta = \int_0^\pi (k-1) \sin^{k-2}(\theta) \cos^2(\theta) d\theta \\ &= \int_0^\pi (2k-1) \sin^{k-2}(\theta) (1 - \sin^2(\theta)) d\theta = (k-1)(c_{k-2} - c_k). \end{aligned}$$

So, if $d_k = c_0 = \pi$ when k is even, and $d_k = c_1 = 2$ when k is odd,

$$c_k = \frac{k-1}{k} c_{k-2} = \frac{(k-1)(k-2)}{k(k-2)} c_{k-4} = \dots = \frac{(k-1)!!}{(k)!!} d_k$$

\square

Lemma 9.6. *Let $r > 0$. Then*

$$\int_{B(0,r)} (1 - x_1^2) d\gamma_n(x) = \frac{\text{Vol}(S^{n-1}) r^n e^{-r^2/2}}{n(2\pi)^{n/2}}. \quad (74)$$

Proof. For $\alpha > 0$, define

$$\begin{aligned} g(\alpha) &:= \int_{B(0, \sqrt{\alpha}r)} d\gamma_n(x) = \text{Vol}(S^{n-1}) \int_0^{\sqrt{\alpha}r} s^{n-1} e^{-s^2/2} ds / (2\pi)^{n/2} \\ &= \text{Vol}(S^{n-1}) \alpha^{n/2} \int_0^r s^{n-1} e^{-\alpha s^2/2} ds / (2\pi)^{n/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Then, using the product rule,

$$g'(\alpha) = \text{Vol}(S^{n-1}) \left(\alpha^{\frac{n}{2}} \int_0^r (-s^{n+1}/2) e^{-\alpha s^2/2} \frac{ds}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} + \frac{n}{2} \alpha^{\frac{n}{2}-1} \int_0^r s^{n-1} e^{-\alpha s^2/2} \frac{ds}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \right).$$

Plugging in $\alpha = 1$, we then get

$$g'(1) = \text{Vol}(S^{n-1}) (1/2) \int_0^r (n - s^2) s^{n-1} e^{-s^2/2} ds / (2\pi)^{n/2} = \frac{1}{2} \int_{B(0,r)} (n - \|x\|_2^2) d\gamma_n(x).$$

Also, applying the Fundamental Theorem of Calculus to the definition of g ,

$$g'(1) = (1/2) \text{Vol}(S^{n-1}) r^n e^{-r^2/2} / (2\pi)^{n/2}.$$

Combining the two formulas for $g'(1)$, we get

$$\int_{B(0,r)} (n - \|x\|_2^2) d\gamma_n(x) = 2g'(1) = \text{Vol}(S^{n-1}) r^n e^{-r^2/2} / (2\pi)^{n/2}.$$

That is, (74) holds. □

9.3. Sum of Squared Fourier Coefficients. For our second application of Lemma 9.1, we consider the sum of squared second-order Hermite-Fourier coefficients of a set.

Lemma 9.7 (Second Variation of Sum of Squared Fourier Coefficients). *Suppose Assumption 1 holds with $\frac{d^2}{dt^2}|_{t=0} \gamma_n(A^{(t)}) = 0$. For any $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$, define*

$$F(A) := \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} 1_A(x) (1 - x_i^2) (1 - y_i^2) \gamma_n(x) \gamma_n(y) 1_A(y) dx dy.$$

Assume there exists $r > 0$ such that $A = B(0, r)$ or $A = B(0, r)^c$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d^2}{dt^2} F(A^{(t)})|_{t=0} &= \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\int_{\partial A} (1 - x_i^2) \langle X(x), N(x) \rangle \gamma_n(x) dx \right)^2 \\ &\quad + 2 \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{\partial A} (-x_i) X^{(i)} \langle X(x), N(x) \rangle d\gamma_n(x) \left(\int_A (1 - y_i^2) d\gamma_n(y) \right). \end{aligned} \tag{75}$$

Proof. Let $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ and let $G_i(x, y) = (1 - x_i^2)(1 - y_i^2) \gamma_n(x) \gamma_n(y)$ for any $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Define

$$F_i(A^{(t)}) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} 1_{A^{(t)}}(x) G_i(x, y) 1_{A^{(t)}}(y) = \left(\int_{A^{(t)}} (1 - x_i^2) d\gamma_n(x) \right)^2.$$

Then $F(A^{(t)}) = \sum_{i=1}^n F_i(A^{(t)})$. Define

$$V_i(x, t) := \int_{A^{(t)}} G_i(x, y) dy = \left(\int_{A^{(t)}} (1 - y_i^2) d\gamma_n(y) \right) (1 - x_i^2) \gamma_n(x). \tag{76}$$

Applying Lemma 9.1,

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2} \frac{d^2}{dt^2} F_i(A^{(t)})|_{t=0} &= \int_{\partial A} \int_{\partial A} (1-x_i^2)(1-y_i^2) \langle X(x), N(x) \rangle \langle X(y), N(y) \rangle \gamma_n(x) \gamma_n(y) dx dy \\
&\quad + \int_{\partial A} \operatorname{div}(V_i(x, 0) X(x)) \langle X(x), N(x) \rangle dx \\
&\stackrel{(76)}{=} \left(\int_{\partial A} (1-x_i^2) \langle X(x), N(x) \rangle \gamma_n(x) dx \right)^2 \\
&\quad + \int_{\partial A} \operatorname{div}((1-x_i^2) \gamma_n(x) X(x)) \langle X(x), N(x) \rangle dx \left(\int_A (1-y_i^2) d\gamma_n(y) \right).
\end{aligned} \tag{77}$$

We compute the last term as follows.

$$\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{div}((1-x_i^2) \gamma_n(x) X(x)) &= \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} ((1-x_i^2) \gamma_n(x) X^{(j)}(x)) \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^n (1-x_i^2) ((-x_j) X^{(j)}(x) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} X^{(j)}(x)) \gamma_n(x) - 2 \cdot 1_{\{i=j\}} x_i \gamma_n(x) X^{(j)}(x) \\
&= (1-x_i^2) \left(\langle -x, X(x) \rangle + \operatorname{div}(X(x)) \right) \gamma_n(x) - 2x_i \gamma_n(x) X^{(i)}(x).
\end{aligned} \tag{78}$$

We now combine (77) and (78) and sum over $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. Since $A = B(0, r)$ or $A = B(0, r)^c$, the first term from (78) vanishes by Lemma 9.2 after it is integrated, resulting in (75). \square

We continue to use the assumptions and notation from Assumption 1. Also, for any $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$, define

$$F(A) := \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} 1_A(x) (1-x_i^2) (1-y_i^2) \gamma_n(x) \gamma_n(y) 1_A(y) dx dy.$$

Corollary 9.8 (Second Variation Bound). *Let $r > 0$. Assume $A = A^{(0)} = B(0, r)$ or $A = A^{(0)} = B(0, r)^c$. Let $f(x) = \langle X(x), N(x) \rangle$ for any $x \in \partial B(0, r)$. Assume that $\int_{\partial B(0, r)} f(x) dx = 0$ and $\int_{\partial B(0, r)} |f(x)|^2 dx = 1$. Then*

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d^2}{dt^2} F(A^{(t)})|_{t=0} \leq \frac{2r^{n+1} e^{-r^2} \operatorname{Vol}(S^{n-1})}{n(n+2)(2\pi)^n} (r^2 - n - 2).$$

Moreover, equality holds when f is a multiple of the function $x \mapsto (n-1)x_1^2 - \sum_{j=2}^n x_j^2$.

Proof. Suppose $A = A^{(0)} = B(0, r)$, and let $f(x) = \langle X(x), N(x) \rangle$ for any $x \in \partial B(0, r)$. Then, using Lemma 9.7 we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2} \frac{d^2}{dt^2} F(A^{(t)})|_{t=0} &= \frac{e^{-r^2}}{(2\pi)^n} \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\int_{\partial B(0, r)} (1-x_i^2) f(x) dx \right)^2 \\
&\quad - \frac{2r e^{-r^2/2}}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \int_{B(0, r)} (1-y_1^2) d\gamma_n(y) \int_{\partial B(0, r)} (f(x))^2 dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\int_{\partial B(0,r)} f(x)dx = 0$, Lemma 9.4 applies, yielding

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d^2}{dt^2} F(A^{(t)})|_{t=0} &= \frac{e^{-r^2}}{(2\pi)^n} \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\int_{\partial B(0,r)} x_i^2 f(x) dx \right)^2 \\ &\quad - \left(\frac{2re^{-r^2/2}}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \int_{B(0,r)} (1 - y_1^2) d\gamma_n(y) \right) \int_{\partial B(0,r)} (f(x))^2 dx \\ &\leq \frac{2r^{n+3}e^{-r^2} \text{Vol}(S^{n-1})}{n(n+2)(2\pi)^n} \int_{\partial B(0,r)} (f(x))^2 dx \\ &\quad - \left(\frac{2re^{-r^2/2}}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \int_{B(0,r)} (1 - y_1^2) d\gamma_n(y) \right) \int_{\partial B(0,r)} (f(x))^2 dx. \end{aligned}$$

So, if $\int_{\partial B(0,r)} (f(x))^2 dx = 1$, we have

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d^2}{dt^2} F(A^{(t)})|_{t=0} \leq \frac{2r^{n+3}e^{-r^2} \text{Vol}(S^{n-1})}{n(n+2)(2\pi)^n} - \left(\frac{2re^{-r^2/2}}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \int_{B(0,r)} (1 - y_1^2) d\gamma_n(y) \right). \quad (79)$$

Then, substituting Lemma 9.6,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d^2}{dt^2} F(A^{(t)})|_{t=0} &\leq \frac{r^{n+1}e^{-r^2} \text{Vol}(S^{n-1})}{n(2\pi)^n} \left(r^2 \frac{2}{n+2} - 2 \right) \\ &= \frac{2r^{n+1}e^{-r^2} \text{Vol}(S^{n-1})}{n(n+2)(2\pi)^n} (r^2 - n - 2). \end{aligned} \quad (80)$$

The equality case then comes directly from Lemma 9.4, if we can find a vector field X such that $\langle X(x), N(x) \rangle = (n-1)x_1^2 - x_2^2 - \dots - x_n^2$ for any $x \in \partial A$ and such that $\frac{d^2}{dt^2}|_{t=0} \gamma_n(A^t) = 0$. (Since $\int_{\partial A} [(n-1)x_1^2 - x_2^2 - \dots - x_n^2] dx = 0$, we know $\frac{d}{dt}|_{t=0} \gamma_n(A^t) = 0$ by Lemma 9.2.)

We can construct the vector field X explicitly. For any $1 \leq i \leq n$, define $g_i(x) := (x_1^2 + \dots + x_n^2 - r^2)x_i/2$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Then $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} g_i(x) = x_i^2$ and $g_i(x) = 0$ when $x \in \partial A$. We therefore define

$$X(x) := r((n-1)(x_1 + g_1(x)), -x_2 - g_2(x), \dots, -x_n - g_n(x)), \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

Then if $x \in \partial A$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{r} (\text{div}(X)(x) - \langle X(x), x \rangle) \\ &= (n-1) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} g_1(x) - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} g_2(x) - \dots - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n} g_n(x) - ((n-1)x_1^2 - x_2^2 - \dots - x_n^2) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

So, $\frac{d^2}{dt^2}|_{t=0} \gamma_n(A^t) = 0$ by Lemma 9.2, as desired. Lastly, note that $N(x) = \pm x / \|x\|_2$ for all $x \in \partial A$, so $\pm \langle X(x), N(x) \rangle = (n-1)x_1^2 - x_2^2 - \dots - x_n^2$ for any $x \in \partial A$ since $g_i(x) = 0$ for any $x \in \partial A$, and for any $1 \leq i \leq n$. □

10. LOCAL OPTIMALITY

We continue to use the assumptions and notation from Assumption 1. Also, for any $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$, define

$$F(A) := \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} 1_A(x)(1 - x_i^2)(1 - y_i^2)\gamma_n(x)\gamma_n(y)1_A(y)dxdy.$$

Proof of Theorem 1.7. Apply Corollary 9.8. □

Remark 10.1. Note that there is a “phase transition” that occurs in Theorem 1.7 (and in Corollary 9.8), where the ball or its complement changes from locally maximizing F to not locally maximizing F . We do not currently have an intuitive explanation for this phenomenon.

Remark 10.2. Here we provide some more details for the calculation demonstrated in the Introduction which demonstrated the incorrectness of Conjecture 3.

Let $r = 2.4$. Then $\gamma_2(B(0, r)) = 1 - e^{r^2/2} \approx .943865$. And from Lemma 9.6, $(\int_{B(0, r)}(1 - x_1^2)d\gamma_2(x))^2 + (\int_{B(0, r)}(1 - x_2^2)d\gamma_2(x))^2 = \frac{1}{2}r^4e^{-r^2} \approx .0522732$.

Let $A = \{(x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : x_1^2/(2.5)^2 + x_2^2/(2.31394)^2 \leq 1\}$. A numerical computation shows that $\gamma_2(A) \approx .943865$, $\int_A(1 - x_1^2)d\gamma_2(x) \approx .143076$ and $\int_A(1 - x_2^2)d\gamma_2(x) \approx .178889$, so $(\int_A(1 - x_1^2)d\gamma_2(x))^2 + (\int_A(1 - x_2^2)d\gamma_2(x))^2 \approx .0524720 > .0522732$.

That is, if $F(A) := (\int_A(1 - x_1^2)d\gamma_2(x))^2 + (\int_A(1 - x_2^2)d\gamma_2(x))^2$, then $F(A^c) \approx .0524720 > .0522732 \approx F(B(0, r)^c)$. And if $r' = \sqrt{-2\log(1 - e^{-2.88})}$, then $\gamma_2(B(0, r')) = \gamma_2(B(0, r)^c)$, and again from Lemma 9.6, $F(B(0, r')) = \frac{1}{2}(r')^4e^{-(r')^2} = 2(\log(1 - e^{-2.88}))^2(1 - e^{-2.88})^2 \approx .0059468$. In summary,

$$F(A) > \max(F(B(0, r)^c), F(B(0, r'))), \quad \gamma_2(B(0, r)^c) = \gamma_2(B(0, r')) \approx \gamma_2(A).$$

That is, Conjectures 2 and 3 are false.

In fact, this behavior is generic for other measure restrictions when $n = 2$. If $1 - e^{-r^2/2} = e^{-(r')^2/2}$, then $r' = \sqrt{-2\log(1 - e^{-r^2/2})}$, $F(B(0, r)) = \frac{1}{2}r^4e^{-r^2}$, and $F(B(0, r')^c) = 2(\log(1 - e^{-r^2/2}))^2(1 - e^{-r^2/2})^2 \geq F(B(0, r))$ for all $0 < r < \sqrt{2}$. So, $\max(F(B(0, r)), F(B(0, r')^c)) = F(B(0, r'))$. And from Corollary 9.8, $B(0, r')$ locally maximizes F only when $r' < 2$. That is, $B(0, r')$ locally maximizes F only when $r > \sqrt{4 - 2\log(e^2 - 1)} \approx .53928$.

In summary, if $0 < r < .53928$, and if $a = \gamma_2(B(0, r))$, then Conjectures 2 and 3 are false, since $F(B(0, r)) < F(B(0, r')^c)$, and $B(0, r')^c$ does not maximize F by Corollary 9.8, since $r' > 2$. Moreover, as mentioned in the Introduction, if $A' = \{(x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : x_1^2 \leq 1.90999\}$, then a numerical computation shows $\gamma_2(A') \approx .943865$, and $F(A') = F((A')^c) \approx .0604796$. That is,

Proof of Theorem 1.8. Let $\rho \in (-1, 1)$. Let $G(x, y) = e^{-\frac{\|\rho x - y\|^2}{2(1-\rho^2)}} \gamma_n(x) = e^{-\frac{-\|x\|_2^2 - \|y\|_2^2 + 2\rho\langle x, y \rangle}{2(1-\rho^2)}}$ for any $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$. For any $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$, define

$$F_\rho(A) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} 1_A(x)G(x, y)1_A(y)dxdy = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} 1_A(x)T_\rho 1_A(y)d\gamma_n(x).$$

$$F(A) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} 1_A(x) \left(\sum_{i=1}^n (1 - x_i^2)(1 - y_i^2) \right) \gamma_n(x)\gamma_n(y)1_A(y)dxdy.$$

We require the following well-known Gaussian/Mehler heat kernel expansion for G , which appears e.g. in [Hei14b, Section 2.2]: for any $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$, and for any $\rho \in (-1, 1)$,

$$e^{-(\|x\|_2^2 + \|y\|_2^2)/2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \rho^k \sum_{\substack{\ell \in \mathbb{N}^n: \\ \ell_1 + \dots + \ell_n = k}} h_\ell(x) h_\ell(y) \ell! = (1 - \rho^2)^{-n/2} e^{-\frac{(\|x\|_2^2 + \|y\|_2^2 - 2\rho(x, y))}{2(1 - \rho^2)}}. \quad (81)$$

Combining (81) with the bound for Hermite polynomials in Lemma 3.1, for any $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| (1 - \rho^2)^{-n/2} G(x, y) - \gamma_n(x) \gamma_n(y) \left(1 + \rho \sum_{i=1}^n x_i y_i + \rho^2 \sum_{\substack{\ell \in \mathbb{N}^n: \\ \ell_1 + \dots + \ell_n = 2}} h_\ell(x) h_\ell(y) \ell! \right) \right| \\ & \leq \gamma_n(x) \gamma_n(y) \sum_{k=3}^{\infty} \rho^k (n+k)^n 3^k k^n (1 + \|x\|_2^k) (1 + \|y\|_2^k). \end{aligned} \quad (82)$$

Below, C denotes a large constant that depends on n, r, ρ , whose value can change each time it appears. Also, A denotes $B(0, r)$ or $B(0, r)^c$. We now show that the formulas from Lemmas 9.3 and 9.7 are close in a precise sense.

Write $X(x) = f(x)N(x)$, where $x \in \partial B(0, r)$. Since $\frac{d}{dt} \gamma_n(A^{(t)}) = 0$, Lemma 9.2 implies that $\int_{\partial B(0, r)} f(x) dx = 0$. Also, recall that if $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is symmetric, then (11) implies that $\int_A x_i d\gamma_n(x) = 0$ for any $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. Combining these facts with (82) and choosing ρ sufficiently small (depending on n and r), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_{\partial A} \int_{\partial A} G(x, y) f(x) f(y) dx dy - \rho^2 \int_{\partial A} \int_{\partial A} \sum_{\substack{\ell \in \mathbb{N}^n: \\ \ell_1 + \dots + \ell_n = 2}} h_\ell(x) h_\ell(y) \ell! f(x) f(y) \right| \\ & \leq C |\rho|^{5/2} \left(\int_{\partial A} |f(x)| \gamma_n(x) dx \right)^2 \leq C |\rho|^{5/2} \left(\int_{\partial A} |f(x)|^2 \gamma_n(x) dx \right). \end{aligned} \quad (83)$$

Similarly, it follows from (7) that, $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$\left| T_\rho 1_A(x) - \gamma_n(a) - \rho^2 \sum_{\substack{\ell \in \mathbb{N}^n: \\ \ell_1 + \dots + \ell_n = 2}} \ell! h_\ell(x) \left(\int_A h_\ell(y) d\gamma_n(y) \right) \right| \leq C |\rho|^{5/2} (1 + \|x\|_2^k).$$

$$\left| \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} T_\rho 1_A(x) - \rho^2 \sum_{\substack{\ell \in \mathbb{N}^n: \\ \ell_1 + \dots + \ell_n = 2}} \ell! h'_\ell(x) \left(\int_A h_\ell(y) d\gamma_n(y) \right) \right| \leq C |\rho|^{5/2} (1 + \|x\|_2^k).$$

From Remark 1.9, we may assume that $\int_{A^{(t)}} x_i x_j d\gamma_n(x) = 0$ whenever $i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ with $i \neq j$, and for all $t \in (-1, 1)$. Also, from (86) below (where the G we use there is $G(x, y) := y_i y_j$ for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$), we may assume that $\int_{\partial A} x_i x_j f(x) d\gamma_n(x) = 0$ whenever $i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ with $i \neq j$. Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \int_{\partial A} \langle \nabla T_\rho 1_A(x), X(x) \rangle \langle X(x), N(x) \rangle dx \right. \\
& \quad \left. - \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{\partial A} (-x_i) X^{(i)} \langle X(x), N(x) \rangle d\gamma_n(x) \left(\int_A (1 - y_i^2) d\gamma_n(y) \right) \right| \\
& \leq C |\rho|^{5/2} \left(\int_{\partial A} |f(x)|^2 dx \right).
\end{aligned} \tag{84}$$

So, combining (83) and (84) with Lemmas 9.3 and 9.7, (and $\int_{\partial A} x_i x_j f(x) \gamma_n(x) = 0$ if $i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ with $i \neq j$),

$$\left| \frac{1}{\rho^2} \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \Big|_{t=0} F_\rho(A^{(t)}) - \frac{1}{2} \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \Big|_{t=0} F(A^{(t)}) \right| \leq C |\rho|^{1/2} \int_{\partial B(0,r)} (f(x))^2 dx.$$

That is, for ρ sufficiently small (depending on n and r), Theorem 1.8 follows from Theorem 1.7. That is, the ball or its complement is a local maximum of noise stability among symmetric sets. \square

11. ASYMPTOTICS FOR SECOND DEGREE FOURIER COEFFICIENTS

Lemma 11.1 ([Mat76], Theorem 2.1). *Let $m \geq 3$ be an integer. Then there exists λ_m such that*

$$\begin{aligned}
0 & \leq \frac{1}{360m(m-1)(m+1)} - \frac{1}{120m^2(m-1)(m+1)} \\
& \leq \lambda_m \leq \frac{1}{360m(m-1)(m+1)} + \frac{11}{480m^2(m-1)(m+1)},
\end{aligned}$$

and such that for any integer $n \geq 6$,

$$\Gamma(n/2) = \sqrt{2\pi} ((n-2)/2)^{(n-1)/2} e^{-(n-2)/2} e^{1/(6(n-2))} e^{-\lambda_{(n-2)/2}}.$$

Lemma 11.2. *For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $s \in \mathbb{R}$ define $r(s, n) := \sqrt{n + s\sqrt{2n}}$. Then, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, the following asymptotic holds:*

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \left(\int_{B(0, r(s, n))} (1 - x_i^2) d\gamma_n(x) \right)^2 = \frac{1}{\pi} \exp(-s^2 + 2 + s^3 2\sqrt{2} n^{-1/2}/3 - s^4 n^{-1} + O(n^{-3/2})).$$

Moreover, in the case $s = 0$, the quantity $\sum_{i=1}^n (\int_{B(0, \sqrt{n})} (1 - x_i^2) d\gamma_n(x))^2$ strictly increases as n increases.

Proof. We begin with the first statement. Using Lemma 9.6,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\int_{B(0, r(s, n))} (1 - x_i^2) d\gamma_n(x) \right)^2 \\
& = 2^{-n} \frac{4}{n} \frac{1}{2\pi} \left(\frac{2}{n-2} \right)^{n-1} e^{n-2} e^{-1/(3(n-2))} e^{-\lambda_{(n-2)/2}^2} (n + s\sqrt{2n})^n e^{-n-s\sqrt{2n}} \\
& = \frac{1}{\pi} e^{-2} e^{-1/(3(n-2))} e^{-\lambda_{(n-2)/2}^2} \frac{(1 + s\sqrt{2}/\sqrt{n})^n}{(1 - 2/n)^{n-1} e^{s\sqrt{2n}}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Taking the logarithm of the fraction, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& n \log(1 + s\sqrt{2}/\sqrt{n}) - (n-1) \log(1 - 2/n) - s\sqrt{2n} \\
&= n(s\sqrt{2}n^{-1/2} - s^2n^{-1} + s^32\sqrt{2}n^{-3/2}/3 - s^4n^{-2} + O(n^{-5/2})) \\
&\quad - (n-1)(-2n^{-1} - 2n^{-2} - 8n^{-3}/3 - O(n^{-4})) - s\sqrt{2n} \\
&= -s^2 + 2 + s^32\sqrt{2}n^{-1/2}/3 - s^4n^{-1} + O(n^{-3/2}).
\end{aligned}$$

Combining these estimates proves the asymptotic formula.

We now consider the case $s = 0$. For any $t > 2$, define

$$g(t) = e^{-\frac{1}{3(t-2)}} \frac{t^{t-1}}{(t-2)^{t-1}} = e^{-\frac{1}{3(t-2)} + (1-t)[\log(t) - \log(t-2)]}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
g'(t) &= \left(\frac{1}{3(t-2)^2} + \log(t) - \log(t-2) + (t-1) \left(\frac{1}{t} - \frac{1}{t-2} \right) \right) g(t) \\
&= \left(\frac{1}{3(t-2)^2} + \log \left(1 + \frac{2}{t-2} \right) - \frac{1}{t-2} - \frac{1}{t} \right) g(t).
\end{aligned}$$

Let $x = 1/(t-2)$ so that $t-2 = 1/x$, $t = 2 + 1/x$, and $1/t = x/(2x+1)$. Let $h(x) = (1/3)x^2 + \log(1+2x) - x - x/(2x+1)$. Then $h'(x) = (2/3)x + 2/(2x+1) - 1 - [(2x+1) - 2x]/(2x+1)^2 = (2/3)x + 2/(2x+1) - 1 - 1/(2x+1)^2$. Then $(2x+1)^2 h'(x) = (2/3)x(2x+1)^2 + 2(2x+1) - (2x+1)^2 - 1 = (8/3)x^3 + (8/3)x^2 + (2/3)x + 4x + 2 - 4x^2 - 4x - 1 - 1 = (8/3)x^3 - (4/3)x^2 + (2/3)x = (1/3)x(8x^2 - 4x + 2)$. And the function $x \mapsto 8x^2 - 4x + 2$ has a positive minimum at $x = 1/4$. So, $h'(x) > 0$ for all $x \in (0, 1)$. That is, $g'(t) \geq 0$ for any $t \geq 3$. Therefore, the quantity $\sum_{i=1}^n (\int_{B(0, \sqrt{n})} (1 - x_i^2) d\gamma_n(x))^2$ strictly increases as n increases, if $n \geq 6$. (The case $1 \leq n < 6$ follows by direct computation.) \square

12. APPENDIX: PROOF OF THE SECOND VARIATION FORMULA

Let $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be a set with smooth boundary, and let $N: \partial A \rightarrow S^{n-1}$ denote the unit exterior normal to ∂A . Let $X: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ be a vector field. Let $\Psi: \mathbb{R}^n \times (-1, 1)$ such that $\Psi(x, 0) = x$ and such that $\frac{d}{dt}|_{t=0} \Psi(x, t) = X(\Psi(x, t))$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n, t \in (-1, 1)$. For any $t \in (-1, 1)$, let $A^{(t)} = \Psi(A, t)$. Define

$$V(x, t) := \int_{A^{(t)}} G(x, y) dy \tag{85}$$

Lemma 12.1 (The First Variation [CS07]; also [HMN16, Lemma 3.1, Equation (7)]). *Let $G: \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a Schwartz function.*

$$\frac{d}{dt}|_{t=0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} 1_{A^{(t)}}(y) G(x, y) dy = \int_{\partial A} G(x, y) \langle X(y), N(y) \rangle dy. \tag{86}$$

In particular, setting $G(x, y) = \gamma_n(y)$, we get

$$\frac{d}{dt}|_{t=0} \gamma_n(A^{(t)}) = \int_{\partial A} \langle X(y), N(y) \rangle \gamma_n(y) dy.$$

Lemma 12.2 (The Second Variation, [CS07, Theorem 2.6]). *Let $G: \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a Schwartz function. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \Big|_{t=0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} 1_{A(t)}(x) G(x, y) 1_{A(t)}(y) dy &= \int_{\partial A} \int_{\partial A} G(x, y) \langle X(x), N(x) \rangle \langle X(y), N(y) \rangle dx dy \\ &\quad + \int_{\partial A} \operatorname{div}(V(x, 0) X(x)) \langle X(x), N(x) \rangle dx. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Write Ψ and X in their components as $\Psi = (\Psi^{(1)}, \dots, \Psi^{(n)})$, $X = (X^{(1)}, \dots, X^{(n)})$. We use subscript notation to denote partial derivatives, and we let $\operatorname{div}(X) = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^{(i)}$ denote the divergence of X . Let $J\Psi(y, t)$ denote $|\det D\Psi(y, t)| = |\det(\partial\Psi^{(i)}(y, t)/\partial y_j)_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}| \in \mathbb{R}$.

By assumption,

$$\frac{d\Psi}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} = X(\Psi(x, 0)) = X(x). \quad (87)$$

Since Ψ is smooth, we can write

$$\begin{aligned} D\Psi(x, t) &= I + tDX + \frac{1}{2}t^2DZ + o(t^2), \\ Z &:= \frac{d^2\Psi}{dt^2} \Big|_{t=0}, \quad Z^{(i)} = \sum_{j=1}^n X_j^{(i)} X^{(j)}. \end{aligned}$$

We then have the determinant expansion

$$\begin{aligned} \det(D\Psi(x, t)) &= 1 + t\operatorname{Tr}(DX) + \frac{1}{2}t^2[\operatorname{Tr}(DZ) + (\operatorname{Tr}(DX))^2 - \operatorname{Tr}((DX)^2)] + o(t^2) \\ &= 1 + t\operatorname{Tr}(DX) + \frac{1}{2}t^2[\operatorname{div}(Z) + (\operatorname{div}(X))^2 - \sum_{i,j=1}^n X_j^{(i)} X_i^{(j)}] + o(t^2) \\ &= 1 + t\operatorname{Tr}(DX) + \frac{1}{2}t^2[\sum_{i,j=1}^n X_{ij}^{(i)} X^{(j)} + \sum_{i,j=1}^n X_j^{(i)} X_i^{(j)} + (\operatorname{div}(X))^2 - \sum_{i,j=1}^n X_j^{(i)} X_i^{(j)}] + o(t^2) \\ &= 1 + t\operatorname{Tr}(DX) + \frac{1}{2}t^2\operatorname{div}(\operatorname{div}(X)X) + o(t^2). \end{aligned}$$

Since $J\Psi(x, t) = |\det(D\Psi(x, 0))|$, we therefore have

$$J\Psi(x, 0) = 1. \quad (88)$$

$$(d/dt)J\Psi|_{t=0} = \operatorname{div}(X). \quad (89)$$

$$\frac{d^2\Psi^{(i)}}{dt^2} \Big|_{t=0} = \sum_{j=1}^n X_j^{(i)} X^{(j)}. \quad (90)$$

$$\frac{d^2}{dt^2} J\Psi(x, t) \Big|_{t=0} = \operatorname{div}((\operatorname{div}(X))X). \quad (91)$$

Let

$$F(A^{(t)}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} 1_{A(t)}(x) G(x, y) 1_{A(t)}(y) dx dy = \int_{A^{(t)}} V(x, t) = \int_A V(\Psi(x, t), t) J\Psi(x, t) dx. \quad (92)$$

In the sequel, we will use the chain rule and divergence theorem repeatedly.

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}F(A^{(t)}) &= \int_A \sum_{i=1}^n V_{x_i}(\Psi(x, t), t) \Psi_t^{(i)}(x, t) J\Psi(x, t) + V(\Psi(x, t), t) \frac{d}{dt}(J\Psi(x, t)) \\ &\quad + V_t(\Psi(x, t), t) J\Psi(x, t). \end{aligned} \quad (93)$$

Step 1. Computing the Second Derivative of $F(A^{(t)})$ with respect to t .

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d^2}{dt^2}F(A^{(t)}) &= \int_A \sum_{i,j=1}^n V_{x_i x_j}(\Psi(x, t), t) \Psi_t^{(i)}(x, t) \Psi_t^{(j)}(x, t) J\Psi(x, t) \\ &\quad + 2 \sum_{i=1}^n V_{x_i, t}(\Psi(x, t), t) \Psi_t^{(i)}(x, t) J\Psi(x, t) + \sum_{i=1}^n V_{x_i}(\Psi(x, t), t) \Psi_{tt}^{(i)}(x, t) J\Psi(x, t) \\ &\quad + 2 \sum_{i=1}^n V_{x_i}(\Psi(x, t), t) \Psi_t^{(i)}(x, t) (d/dt) J\Psi(x, t) + 2V_t(\Psi(x, t), t) (d/dt) J\Psi(x, t) \\ &\quad + V(\Psi(x, t), t) (d^2/dt^2) (J\Psi(x, t)) + V_{tt}(\Psi(x, t), t) J\Psi(x, t) dx. \end{aligned} \quad (94)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d^2}{dt^2}F(A^{(t)})|_{t=0} &\stackrel{(87) \wedge (88) \wedge (89) \wedge (90) \wedge (91)}{=} \int_A \sum_{i,j=1}^n V_{x_i x_j}(x, 0) X^{(i)}(x) X^{(j)}(x) \\ &\quad + 2 \sum_{i=1}^n V_{x_i, t}(x, 0) X^{(i)}(x) + \sum_{i,j=1}^n V_{x_i}(x, 0) X_{x_j}^{(i)}(x) X^{(j)}(x) \\ &\quad + 2 \sum_{i=1}^n V_{x_i}(x, 0) X^{(i)}(x) \operatorname{div}(X(x)) + 2V_t(x, 0) \operatorname{div}(X(x)) \\ &\quad + V(x, 0) \operatorname{div}((\operatorname{div}(X(x)))X(x)) + V_{tt}(x, 0) dx. \end{aligned} \quad (95)$$

From (86), $V_t(x, 0) = \int_{\partial A} G(x, y) \langle X(y), N(y) \rangle dy$. So, combining the second and fifth terms of (95), then applying the divergence theorem,

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_A 2 \langle \nabla_x V_t(x, 0), X(x) \rangle + 2V_t(x, 0) \operatorname{div}(X(x)) dx \\ &= 2 \int_A \operatorname{div}(V_t(x, 0) X(x)) dx = 2 \int_{\partial A} V_t(x, 0) \langle X(x), N(x) \rangle dx \\ &= 2 \int_{\partial A} \int_{\partial A} G(x, t) \langle X(x), N(x) \rangle \langle X(y), N(y) \rangle dx dy. \end{aligned} \quad (96)$$

Combining the first, third and fourth terms of (95), and using the divergence theorem,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_A \sum_{i,j=1}^n V_{x_i x_j}(x, 0) X^{(i)}(x) X^{(j)}(x) + \sum_{i,j=1}^n V_{x_i}(x, t) X_{x_j}^{(i)}(x) X^{(j)}(x) \\
& \quad + \sum_{i=1}^n V_{x_i}(x, t) X^{(i)}(x) \operatorname{div}(X(x)) dx \\
& = \int_A \operatorname{div}(\langle \nabla_x V(x, 0), X(x) \rangle X(x)) dx = \int_{\partial A} \langle \nabla_x V(x, 0), X(x) \rangle \langle X(x), N(x) \rangle dx
\end{aligned} \tag{97}$$

Combining the sixth term and one of the fourth terms of (95), then applying the divergence theorem,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_A V(x, 0) \operatorname{div}(\operatorname{div}(X(x)) X(x)) dx + \langle \nabla_x V(x, 0), X(x) \rangle \operatorname{div}(X(x)) dx \\
& = \int_{\partial A} V(x, 0) (\operatorname{div}(X(x))) \langle X(x), N(x) \rangle dx
\end{aligned} \tag{98}$$

Step 2. Combining the Terms.

Now, substituting (96), (97) and (98) into (95),

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d^2}{dt^2} F(A^{(t)})|_{t=0} & = 2 \int_{\partial A} \int_{\partial A} G(x, y) \langle X(x), N(x) \rangle \langle X(y), N(y) \rangle dx dy \\
& + \int_{\partial A} \langle \nabla_x V(x, 0), X(x) \rangle \langle X(x), N(x) \rangle dx + \int_{\partial A} V(x, 0) (\operatorname{div}(X(x))) \langle X(x), N(x) \rangle dx \\
& + \int_A V_{tt}(x, t) dx. \\
& = 2 \int_{\partial A} \int_{\partial A} G(x, y) \langle X(x), N(x) \rangle \langle X(y), N(y) \rangle dx dy \\
& + \int_{\partial A} \operatorname{div}(V(x, 0) X(x)) \langle X(x), N(x) \rangle dx + \int_A V_{tt}(x, 0) dx.
\end{aligned} \tag{99}$$

Step 3. Computing the final term, V_{tt} .

It therefore remains to compute $\int_A V_{tt}(x, t) dx$. From (85),

$$\begin{aligned}
V_t(x, t) & = \frac{d}{dt} \int_A G(x, \Psi(y, t)) J \Psi(y, t) dy \\
& = \int_A \langle \nabla_z G(x, \Psi(y, t)) (d/dt) \Psi(y, t) \rangle J \Psi(y, t) + G(x, \Psi(y, t)) (d/dt) J \Psi(y, t) dy
\end{aligned}$$

So, applying the Chain rule, and then the divergence theorem,

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_A V_{tt}(x, 0)dx &= \frac{d}{dt}\big|_{t=0} \int_A V_t(x, 0)dx \\
&= \frac{d}{dt}\big|_{t=0} \int_A \int_A \langle \nabla_z G(x, \Psi(y, t))(d/dt)\Psi(y, t) \rangle J\Psi(y, t) + G(x, \Psi(y, t))(d/dt)J\Psi(y, t) dydx \\
&= \frac{d}{dt}\big|_{t=0} \int_A \int_A \langle \nabla_y G(x, \Psi(y, t))[D\Psi(y, t)]^{-1}(d/dt)\Psi(y, t) \rangle J\Psi(y, t) \\
&\quad + G(x, \Psi(y, t))(d/dt)J\Psi(y, t) dydx \\
&= \frac{d}{dt}\big|_{t=0} - \int_A \int_A G(x, \Psi(y, t))\text{div}([D\Psi(y, t)]^{-1}(d/dt)\Psi(y, t))J\Psi(y, t) dydx \\
&\quad + \int_A \int_{\partial A} \langle G(x, \Psi(y, t))[D\Psi(y, t)]^{-1}(d/dt)\Psi(y, t) \rangle J\Psi(y, t), N(y) \rangle dydx \\
&\quad + \int_A \int_A G(x, \Psi(y, t))(d/dt)J\Psi(y, t) dydx
\end{aligned} \tag{100}$$

We now differentiate the three terms in (100).

$$D\Psi = I + tDX + O(t^2), \quad [D\Psi]^{-1} = I - tDX + O(t^2). \tag{101}$$

$$(d/dt)|_{t=0}([D\Psi(y, t)]^{-1}) = -DX(y). \tag{102}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&(d/dt)|_{t=0} G(x, \Psi(y, t))\text{div}([D\Psi(y, t)]^{-1}(d/dt)\Psi(y, t))J\Psi(y, t) \\
&\stackrel{(87)\wedge(90)\wedge(89)\wedge(102)\wedge(101)}{=} \langle \nabla_y G(x, y), X(y) \rangle \text{div}(X(y)) \\
&\quad + G(x, y)\text{div}(-(DX)X + \left(\sum_{j=1}^n X_{x_j}^{(i)} X^{(j)}\right)_i + X\text{div}(X)) \\
&= \langle \nabla_y G(x, y), X(y) \rangle \text{div}(X(y)) + G(x, y)\text{div}(X\text{div}(X)) \\
&= \text{div}_y(G(x, y)X(y)\text{div}(X(y))).
\end{aligned} \tag{103}$$

As in (103),

$$\begin{aligned}
&(d/dt)|_{t=0} \langle G(x, \Psi(y, t))[D\Psi(y, t)]^{-1}(d/dt)\Psi(y, t) \rangle J\Psi(y, t), N(y) \rangle \\
&= \langle \nabla_y G(x, y), X(y) \rangle X(y) + G(x, y)X(y)\text{div}(X(y)) \\
&= X(y)\text{div}_y(G(x, y)X(y)).
\end{aligned} \tag{104}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&(d/dt)|_{t=0} G(x, \Psi(y, t))(d/dt)J\Psi(y, t) \\
&\stackrel{(89)\wedge(91)}{=} \langle \nabla_y G(x, y), X(y) \rangle \text{div}(X(y)) + G(x, y)\text{div}(X(y)\text{div}(X(y))) \\
&= \text{div}_y(G(x, y)X(y)\text{div}(X(y))).
\end{aligned} \tag{105}$$

Substituting (103), (104) and (105) into (100) and noting that (103) and (105) cancel,

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_A V_{tt}(x, 0)dx &= \int_A \int_{\partial A} \operatorname{div}_y(G(x, y)X(y))\langle X(y), N(y)\rangle dy dx \\
&= \int_{\partial A} \operatorname{div}_y \left[\left(\int_A G(x, y)dx \right) X(y) \right] \langle X(y), N(y)\rangle dy \\
&\stackrel{(85)}{=} \int_{\partial A} \operatorname{div}(V(x, 0)X(x))\langle X(x), N(x)\rangle dx
\end{aligned} \tag{106}$$

Step 4. Combining all terms together

Substituting (106) into (99), we finally get

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d^2}{dt^2}F(A^{(t)})|_{t=0} &= 2 \int_{\partial A} \int_{\partial A} G(x, y)\langle X(x), N(x)\rangle\langle X(y), N(y)\rangle dx dy \\
&\quad + 2 \int_{\partial A} \operatorname{div}(V(x, 0)X(x))\langle X(x), N(x)\rangle dx.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 12.3.

$$\frac{d^2}{dt^2}|_{t=0}\gamma_n(A^{(t)}) = \int_{\partial A} (\operatorname{div}(X(x)) - \langle X(x), x \rangle)\langle X(x), N(x)\rangle\gamma_n(x)dx.$$

Proof. Let $G(x, y) := \gamma_n(x)\gamma_n(y)$ for any $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Then for any $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$, $\int_A \int_A G(x, y)dx dy = (\gamma_n(A))^2$. By Lemma 12.1,

$$\frac{d}{dt}|_{t=0}\gamma_n(A^{(t)}) = \int_{\partial A} \langle X(y), N(y)\rangle\gamma_n(y)dy.$$

And (85) says $V(x, t) := \int_{A^{(t)}} G(x, y)dy = \gamma_n(x)\gamma_n(A^{(t)})$ for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^n, t \in \mathbb{R}$. So,

$$\operatorname{div}(V(x, 0)X(x)) = (\operatorname{div}(X(x)) - \langle X(x), x \rangle)\gamma_n(x)\gamma_n(A), \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Then, by the Chain Rule and Lemma 12.2,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\gamma_n(A)\frac{d^2}{dt^2}|_{t=0}\gamma_n(A^{(t)}) + \left(\int_{\partial A} \langle X(y), N(y)\rangle\gamma_n(y)dy \right)^2 \\
&\gamma_n(A)\frac{d^2}{dt^2}|_{t=0}\gamma_n(A^{(t)}) + \left(\frac{d}{dt}|_{t=0}\gamma_n(A^{(t)}) \right)^2 = \frac{1}{2}\frac{d^2}{dt^2}|_{t=0}(\gamma_n(A^{(t)}))^2 \\
&= \left(\int_{\partial A} \langle X(y), N(y)\rangle\gamma_n(y)dy \right)^2 + \gamma_n(A) \int_{\partial A} (\operatorname{div}(X(x)) - \langle X(x), x \rangle)\langle X(x), N(x)\rangle\gamma_n(x)dx.
\end{aligned}$$

The Lemma follows. □

REFERENCES

- [Bar01] Franck Barthe, *An isoperimetric result for the gaussian measure and unconditional sets*, Bulletin of the London Mathematical Society **33** (2001), 408–416.
- [Bor85] Christer Borell, *Geometric bounds on the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck velocity process*, Z. Wahrsch. Verw. Gebiete **70** (1985), no. 1, 1–13. MR 795785 (87k:60103)
- [BS01] A. Burchard and M. Schmuckenschlger, *Comparison theorems for exit times*, Geometric & Functional Analysis GAFA **11** (2001), no. 4, 651–692 (English).

- [CCH⁺08] J. Corneli, I. Corwin, S. Hurder, V. Sesum, Y. Xu, E. Adams, D. Davis, M. Lee, R. Visocchi, and N. Hoffman, *Double bubbles in Gauss space and spheres*, Houston J. Math. **34** (2008), no. 1, 181–204. MR 2383703 (2009e:53007)
- [CIMW13] Tobias Holck Colding, Tom Ilmanen, William P. Minicozzi, II, and Brian White, *The round sphere minimizes entropy among closed self-shrinkers*, J. Differential Geom. **95** (2013), no. 1, 53–69. MR 3128979
- [CM11] Tobias H. Colding and William P. Minicozzi, II, *Minimal surfaces and mean curvature flow*, Surveys in geometric analysis and relativity, Adv. Lect. Math. (ALM), vol. 20, Int. Press, Somerville, MA, 2011, pp. 73–143. MR 2906923
- [CR11] Amit Chakrabarti and Oded Regev, *An optimal lower bound on the communication complexity of gap Hamming distance*, Proc. 43rd Annual ACM Symposium on the Theory of Computing, 2011, pp. 51–60.
- [CRS10] L. Caffarelli, J.-M. Roquejoffre, and O. Savin, *Nonlocal minimal surfaces*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **63** (2010), no. 9, 1111–1144. MR 2675483 (2011h:49057)
- [CS07] Rustum Choksi and Peter Sternberg, *On the first and second variations of a nonlocal isoperimetric problem*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **611** (2007), 75–108. MR 2360604 (2008j:49062)
- [Eld15] Ronen Eldan, *A two-sided estimate for the gaussian noise stability deficit*, Inventiones mathematicae **201** (2015), no. 2, 561–624 (English).
- [Fel71] William Feller, *An introduction to probability theory and its applications. Vol. II.*, Second edition, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York-London-Sydney, 1971. MR 0270403
- [FFM⁺15] A. Figalli, N. Fusco, F. Maggi, V. Millot, and M. Morini, *Isoperimetry and stability properties of balls with respect to nonlocal energies*, Comm. Math. Phys. **336** (2015), no. 1, 441–507. MR 3322379
- [Hei14a] Steven Heilman, *Euclidean partitions optimizing noise stability*, Electron. J. Probab. **19** (2014), no. 71, 37. MR 3256871
- [Hei14b] ———, *Gaussian Isoperimetry for Multiple Sets*, ProQuest LLC, Ann Arbor, MI, 2014, Thesis (Ph.D.)—New York University. MR 3279139
- [HMN16] Steven Heilman, Elchanan Mossel, and Joe Neeman, *Standard simplices and pluralities are not the most noise stable*, Israel Journal of Mathematics **213** (2016), no. 1, 33–53.
- [IM12] Marcus Isaksson and Elchanan Mossel, *Maximally stable Gaussian partitions with discrete applications*, Israel J. Math. **189** (2012), 347–396. MR 2931402
- [Jul14] Vesa Julin, *Isoperimetric problem with a Coulomb repulsive term*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **63** (2014), no. 1, 77–89. MR 3218265
- [Kan12] Daniel M. Kane, *A structure theorem for poorly anticoncentrated gaussian chaoses and applications to the study of polynomial threshold functions*, 2013 IEEE 54th Annual Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science **0** (2012), 91–100.
- [Kan14] Daniel M. Kane, *The correct exponent for the Gotsman-Linial conjecture*, Comput. Complexity **23** (2014), no. 2, 151–175. MR 3212596
- [KDS⁺08] Adam R Klivans, Ryan O Donnell, Rocco Servedio, et al., *Learning geometric concepts via gaussian surface area*, Foundations of Computer Science, 2008. FOCS'08. IEEE 49th Annual IEEE Symposium on, IEEE, 2008, pp. 541–550.
- [KKMO07] Subhash Khot, Guy Kindler, Elchanan Mossel, and Ryan O'Donnell, *Optimal inapproximability results for MAX-CUT and other 2-variable CSPs?*, SIAM J. Comput. **37** (2007), no. 1, 319–357. MR 2306295 (2008d:68035)
- [KN12] Subhash Khot and Assaf Naor, *Grothendieck-type inequalities in combinatorial optimization*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **65** (2012), no. 7, 992–1035. MR 2922372
- [KN13] ———, *Sharp kernel clustering algorithms and their associated grothendieck inequalities*, Random Structures & Algorithms **42** (2013), no. 3, 269–300.
- [KOS⁺02] Adam R Klivans, Ryan O'Donnell, Rocco Servedio, et al., *Learning intersections and thresholds of halfspaces*, Foundations of Computer Science, 2002. Proceedings. The 43rd Annual IEEE Symposium on, IEEE, 2002, pp. 177–186.

- [KS01] Adam R. Klivans and Rocco Servedio, *Learning DNF in time $2^{O(n^{1/3})}$* , Proceedings of the Thirty-third Annual ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing (New York, NY, USA), STOC '01, ACM, 2001, pp. 258–265.
- [Led94] Michel Ledoux, *Semigroup proofs of the isoperimetric inequality in Euclidean and Gauss space*, Bull. Sci. Math. **118** (1994), no. 6, 485–510. MR 1309086 (96c:49061)
- [LO99] Rafał Łatała and Krzysztof Oleszkiewicz, *Gaussian measures of dilatations of convex symmetric sets*, Ann. Probab. **27** (1999), no. 4, 1922–1938. MR 1742894
- [Mat76] T. Matsunawa, *Some inequalities based on inverse factorial series*, Ann. Inst. Statist. Math. **28** (1976), no. 2, 291–305. MR 0422708 (54 #10694)
- [MN15] Elchanan Mossel and Joe Neeman, *Robust optimality of Gaussian noise stability*, J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS) **17** (2015), no. 2, 433–482. MR 3317748
- [MOO10] Elchanan Mossel, Ryan O’Donnell, and Krzysztof Oleszkiewicz, *Noise stability of functions with low influences: invariance and optimality*, Ann. of Math. (2) **171** (2010), no. 1, 295–341. MR 2630040 (2012a:60091)
- [O’D12] Ryan O’Donnell, *Open problems in analysis of boolean functions*, Preprint, arXiv:1204.6447v1, 2012.
- [Pis86] Gilles Pisier, *Probabilistic methods in the geometry of Banach spaces*, Probability and analysis (Varenna, 1985), Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 1206, Springer, Berlin, 1986, pp. 167–241. MR 864714 (88d:46032)
- [She12] Alexander A. Sherstov, *The communication complexity of gap hamming distance*, Theory of Computing **8** (2012), no. 1, 197–208.
- [Vid13] Thomas Vidick, *A concentration inequality for the overlap of a vector on a large set, with application to the communication complexity of the gap-hamming-distance problem*, Chicago J. Theor. Comput. Sci. **2013** (2013).
- [Vil03] Cédric Villani, *Topics in optimal transportation*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, vol. 58, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2003. MR 1964483 (2004e:90003)